Salvation of the Saviors (series 41-369)

Standing at the "door"...

Our consciousness builds the world. The old consciousness lives in the old world. The new consciousness manifests a new world. This is an axiom.

It seems to me wrong to consider any questions of our life, our existence as such outside of what is happening today. For some reason, all comparisons are lame, but this does not mean that we do not compare anything or that all comparisons are meaningless.

First, I want to discuss this at the simplest level, at the level of what is obvious even to everyone trying to deny the obvious. So, it is necessary that they see — and this should be the key to their understanding. *Secondly*, now each of us and Russia, as the whole that unites us, has one task — to win in a non-nuclear conventional war waged on the territory of Ukraine. This is no longer even a war with Bandera Ukraine, it is, as we all understand, a war with the West, which has surprisingly clung to this Ukraine in the broadest sense of it. I want to note that India and Pakistan fought in a VERY SIMILAR way. Once it was a single Hindustan (we will not consider its history, but it was in colonial dependence on Great Britain for quite a long time), and then, when it began to be liberated, it was dismembered into parts — Pakistan and India proper were separated, and this separation was doomed to conflict. Well, why not an analogue of what happened during the division of the Soviet Union?! A very close analogy. India and Pakistan have fought many times and no one in the West has ever **YELLED** — they treated what was happening very coldly. The West was indifferent or almost indifferent. And how this so-called "conflict" ends today is **NOT** at all **INDIFFERENT** to the West, that is, so much so that this indifference simply exposes what the West is, how it treats Russia and much more. But the main thing is that these conflicts arose and were resolved then, and are now being resolved in completely different framework of Programs and Management Systems of the past and present.

Through what is happening today, the most active way is the **DESTRUCTION** of the **ARTIFICIALLY IMPOSED** *Anglo-American-European illusion* about the historical inevitability of their civilizational superiority over the overwhelming majority of the entire community of people on planet Earth, under the control of the liquidated (2011.04.24) interventional Control System. The conflict is growing, it is taking on new shapes and we need to **NOT JUST** survive in it, we need to win it, and we need to win (I emphasize) without the use of nuclear weapons, which are constantly being talked about mainly from the opposite side.

And the state must win this big conventional war¹. *The state called the Russian Federation, such as it is.* It may have to be edited during the war. It is it that moves 500,000 masses of fighting soldiers and officers today. It supplies a huge amount of ammunition, fuel, everything that is needed for the success of the war. It is it that presents some strategies. It produces military equipment and everything else. This is a huge sluggish inertial system, which CANNOT be replaced today, **OVERNIGHT**. Its imperfections can be mourned, something can be corrected, but it will fight. This is what is in reality today and we **CERTAINLY NEED** it to win, because we have no other state. We live in what we have, and everything else is fantasy. Therefore, in the conditions of such a war, any conflicts with this statehood, the opposition of another to it, seems to me profoundly **UNTIMELY**, not to say provocative. But this in no way excludes the internal transformation of this statehood. You can dislike Lenin 10 times, 100 times, and for good reason.

На деле же этими благовидными словечками прикрывается срыв контроля, превращение его в ничто, в фикцию, игра в контроль, оттяжки всяких деловых и практическисерьезных шагов, создание необыкновенно сложных, громоздких, чиновничье-безжизненных учреждений контроля, которые насквозь зависимы от капиталистов и ровнехонько ничего не делают и делать не могут.



In fact, these plausible words cover up the breakdown of control, turning it into nothing, into a fiction, a game of control, delays of all business and practical steps, the creation of unusually complex, cumbersome, bureaucratic-lifeless control institutions that are completely dependent on the capitalists and absolutely do nothing and cannot do anything.

¹ **Conventional war** (from the English conventional — ordinary, traditional; generally accepted; habitual) is an armed conflict between two or more states conducted in accordance with the norms of international law: the rights of participants in the conflict, prisoners of war, civilians, as well as the non-use of weapons of mass destruction (WMD - nuclear, chemical, biological). The provisions of conventional war are described in the Hague Conventions, the Geneva Conventions on the Protection of War Victims of 1949 and the Additional Protocols thereto of 1977, resolutions of the UN General Assembly and other documents.

But if you carefully read his September article — "The impending catastrophe and how to deal with it"² — it simply says: "The Provisional Government, the Soviets, all our enemies you must win the war. You can't win it if you want to steal indefinitely. Moderate now, put things in order, accounting and control, and everything else. Otherwise, you will lose power and will not be able to steal moderately afterwards. Now it is necessary to stop generally, and then — moderately. And now — everything!". However, in today's reality, the key phrase, "...and then moderately," MUST NOT be there at all.

It is necessary to discuss the key issues that arise in the process of governance in the State, which are visible today precisely through the leaders who implement them. Visible not only to specialists, but also practically understandable to everyone. No matter how important all this may be, this does **NOT MEAN** that in Russia it is possible to stop discussing the **MEANING of WHAT is HAPPENING**, plunging into the facts as such. Facts are very important and necessary. Honor and praise to those who mine them, honor and praise to those who describe them. In addition to the facts, there is a meaning, and this meaning SHOULD be **DISCUSSED** not from the position of rancor about the imperfections of the existing state (they are very easy to find), rather, from the position of conceptual and, dancing like from a stove, from what the government itself says, in whose hands the fate of Russia really is now. This is a very serious issue. So, the authorities have told us (and I have heard with great satisfaction) that in the previous stage the authorities as a whole **REALLY WANTED** to join Western Civilization, considered it extremely important, the only beneficial thing, and did everything to make it happen. We need to understand that what this government said — all this happened within the framework of the old Control System as the last stage of the implementation of the global plan in the development of human Brain genotypes. But the main thing is that today all this is said openly and shown for everyone's understanding. Let's try to figure out exactly what is said, and in some simple positions.

At the official level, it says that "... we will now turn some brigades into divisions ..." and lists where and how exactly we will do this. It means that we had these divisions IN THE PAST, then they were turned into brigades, and now they are all trying to bring them back. But after all, this, and both, are a little more complicated than rearranging furniture in an apartment. Even if you rearranged the furniture in the apartment, you first - rearranged it this way, then in a different way, and then return it to the original. And this means that you made some kind of mistake, probably, you didn't take into account something. It's with furniture, and then there was some annoying major misunderstanding (that's putting it mildly), and it's **NOT WITHOUT** a **PAYMENT** and **NOT JUST** a payment.

² Written 10-14 September, 1917. Printed at the end of October 1917 in Petrograd in a separate brochure by the publishing house "Priboy"

Any changes in any system takes a lot of energy — changes require resources. These are both material, organizational, and temporary resources. Serdyukov (former Defense Minister of the Russian Federation) did not appear under Yeltsin. He did appear for some reason. He carried out some transformations that were motivated and supported by something and someone. And this, having discarded all emotions, should be understood only as a stage of solving a problem within the framework of the Old control system. But let's take a broader view: how does all this correlate with what is now happening to the Russian state? What kind of state is this? How did it come into being? The successor of whom and what is it? How does it view its own succession? Isn't it all **ABOUT** discussing the current day with its tragedy at the same time? Now I'm moving from this iz(s)torii with the brigades to the fair and very timely statement today (finally) that they wanted to enter the Western Community, and then as it turned out that they didn't take us there. However, we need to ask ourselves what and how we paid for the time standing at the front door of Western civilization? Were we just standing at this entrance? However, we need to ask ourselves what and how we paid for the time standing at the front door of Western civilization? Were we just standing at this entrance? But this is **NOT SO**, and this scheme of actions with divisions and brigades also speaks of the fact that this is not so. At this entrance, we performed certain actions aimed at opening the door. We earned an entrance ticket to enter there. In other words, one Entity (called the Soviet Union in its specific version) stood at this door of Western civilization and it was said that this Entity would definitely **NOT BE ABLE TO ENTER** here. Let's transform it into an Entity that can enter there. Let's cut off this, then this, then this... - then there will be such an Entity (we have cut off everything — all unnecessary growths of Sovietism) and, finally, we got something. And THIS **SOMETHING** — it will definitely enter in.



As part of this, a lot of actions were implemented, including actions with these very brigades. This is only a microparticle inside all the other actions that were carried out. That's how, through specific people, the last stage of deformation of the structure of people's minds was built, which is the so-called Brain genotype, implemented within the framework of the Old system. These people NO LONGER **UNDERSTOOD** one thing — *if a person is only* capable of taking, and not creating, and not making up for or eliminating the consequences of his actions, he cannot be defined as a Man. It's NOT EVEN a PERSON, but a controlled biological being. It can have only limited motor functions of the Brain for household arrangement and its temporary life support due

to the destruction of the harmony of the environment and its additional use.


They, these creatures, are called people. The process of changing the System Power has allowed the current "power" to say that it HAS NEVER AGREED to complete nuclear disarmament or even a very strong diminution of its nuclear potential. In the initial Yeltsin period, there was such a diminution. The Soviet model for opposing non-entry and anti-entry was close to optimal, and for entry it was completely **REDUNDANT** and **UNNECESSARY**. It was not ugly as such, as a thing in itself, it was ugly in relation to a certain goal-setting and NOT UGLY in relation to another. From the late 80s to the present, THERE has NOT BEEN any moderate liberal economist who has NOT DISCUSSED how idiotically Stalin began to build an entire new industry, that is, from the heavy machine-tool industry. How right would it be to build everything from light industry and so on. How gently and unrestrainedly we would then have turned into an industrial power, for 50-70 years. Stalin did not have 50-70 years, he BLUNTLY SAID that he had 10, otherwise he would be crushed. And from the point of view of 10 years and opposition to the colossal bloc of Western powers, called the Hitlerite Reich, it was optimal to build everything from heavy industry. This is to reflect on what is happening today for those who are STILL CAPABLE of such reflections. It was optimal to build everything the way it was built, and from the point of view of existing, having entered the global civilization, and being on a par with England, Germany, and so on, it was stupid to exist to the point of disgust. This was **REALIZED** when "trampling" in front of the front door through the parameters of the executive step-by-step processes from the programs for the "Development of Brain genotypes and Energy Biogenesis of the flesh of the body". This is the main law of development and of the whole iz(s)torii as a whole, and not anything else.

There is no ideal model of existence at all, it is just beginning to rebuild. There is a model of existence in an optimal way, corresponding to goal-setting — *entry, non-entry and anti-entry*. From the point of view of this anti-ascent, defined by the "iron Curtain" and many others, of course, it was right to have an ideology according to which — "... over there is the devil, and we are the Holy Land. Exactly the same thing was done by Americans, they would say - "...we are a city on a hill, the holy state - democracy, and there - monstrous totalitarianism". And we would say — there is a city of the yellow devil, and we have a good state. And we would say, "That's the city of the yellow devil over there, and we have a good state. This is an axiom that Nikolai Morozov³ drew attention to. Moreover, when it was done in earnest, it was saturated with a certain content that was **SUFFICIENTLY CONVINCING** for the population. It is not enough just to say that there is a minus, as well as to present some kind of plus.But if we believe that we have arrived in this state, and it is optimal, and we will stand in it against the entire West for the long haul, there **CANNOT** be any other way.

Nikolai Alexandrovich Morozov was a Russian revolutionary who spent about 25 years in prison for revolutionary activities against the tsarist government. He was also an academician who published works in various fields of science and history, and a pioneer of aviation in Russia. His books marked the beginning of the so-called theory of "new chronology", which denies the historical course of events.

It means that there, in the Western world, is some absolute knowledge about optimality, and we took this optimality from their absolute knowledge and embodied it here. But this absolute knowledge about the homogeneous Western world is about optimality in it, and NOT at all ABSOLUTE knowledge about optimality as such. There is no optimality as such. These are several types of optimality. What optimality are we looking for now, and was it so far from the Soviet one in the imagination of those who lived in the Soviet Union? I do not want to glorify all the details of the Soviet system, especially since many of them are IRREPLACEABLE, and some of them eventually led to the destruction of the Soviet state, and the only argument in favor of its **SUBOPTIMALITY** is that it collapsed. I'm just writing about what was obviously present in this Soviet model, and I'm talking about the simplest. Now, if we need to return some kind of fullfledged self-sufficient industrial circuit, then the question is not only how we truncated it, but from where WE will GET everything that we threw away. We did it, and now we need to GROW BACK not the brigades, but this industrial complex. Where are we going to get this from? How will we grow it? I think it is much easier to turn brigades into divisions than to make our industry self-sufficient. I'm not saying IT CAN'T BE DONE, but it MUST BE DONE if we want to stand for a long time. How are we going to do this? What example do we rely on? Due to the automotor nature of our thinking, we are always looking for examples in what happened, in order to apply them today. Is it bad or good — the second question, the question of the possibility and understanding of what is happening and the representation (at least in some configuration) of the future.

When the Great October Socialist Revolution was carried out, then after this very event and the unfolding Civil War (about four years later), the **RECOVERY PERIOD** began. And during the Civil War, Lenin, who raved about industrialization, began to say that it was necessary to build Volkhovstroy, *and then immediately there was a GOELRO plan and everything else*. What were the parameters of the system that completed itself so heroically in order to survive in the Great Patriotic War and which many (both in the country and outside it) called idiotic, which violated **ALL THE LAWS** of world existence and development? What was used by the Control System and imputed to specific people to implement what was planned, so that the sense of self-righteousness and faith in the future would **NOT** be **VIOLATED**? The largest part of the most intelligent and capable personnel of the military complex of the Russian Empire ended up with the Bolsheviks (Kamenev, Brusilov, Shapochnikov, Bonch-Bruevich) — there were a lot of these people.



The Bolsheviks gathered the entire possible officer corps, a significant part of the main directorate of the Russian Imperial army. Talented nuggets were attached to this — Sergeant Budyonny, who smashed General Mamontov, Vasily Ivanovich Chapaev, and so on. These nuggets **BEGAN** to **BE TRAINED**, and they were trained by **VERY TALENTED** and highly competent tsarist officers and generals. There was a symbiosis of the new and old army, and the **SYMBIOSIS** is the **BEST**. Very different people from the imperial generals, from the imperial professors, the best parts from other strata of society worked out in full to teach the young "barbarians of the Soviets" from the LOWER RANKS of SOCIETY who came to them to study everything they knew. These young barbarians absorbed everything like blotters, like sponges, and were young, energetic, and talented enough, and wanted to study, and studied. Lenin's thesis — to learn, to learn, to learn — was not empty. This was immediately fixed in a certain hierarchy of Soviet society — meritocratic, under which these barbarians were trained, becoming the Soviet elite, and a clear hierarchical ladder and clear channels of vertical mobility emerged. They were **NOT PERFECT**, they could be criticized in many ways, but they were **OBVIOUSLY EFFECTIVE.** The old imperial corps of teachers taught the new fierce barbaric Soviet youth. He taught them, passed the baton to them, and they began to develop it all. The Korolyovs, the Sakharovs, and others emerged. And Colonel B. M. Shaposhnikov, staff captains A. M. Vasilevsky and F. I. Tolbukhin, Lieutenant L. A. Govorov — became Marshals of the Soviet Union during the Great Patriotic War.

A young Soviet engineer who came out of the grassroots was happy that he was making the future, and he had ideal motives. Such an anti-Marxist phrase - being defines consciousness, but his being was brought to the same standards - it was better than others. He understood that his **NEED** for **SOCIETY** is reinforced by **HIS MATERIAL WEALTH**, which is higher than that of others (compared to other layers, he was elevated). Now LET'S TAKE a **CLOSER LOOK** at what we now have had for 30 years, and this is 30 years of post-Soviet — not 3-4 years of civil war. The Soviet professorship, which, to put it mildly, was **NO LONGER VERY** GOOD by the year 91, and which was more or less in condition somewhere by the year 80, was completely worn out - these are people who are 80-odd years old, or already people who have passed away. These are people who have become marginalized and desperate, these are people who have gone abroad, or these are people who fit into the post-Soviet specific life, which is devoid of all these criteria. The first question is who WILL TEACH and whom? The second question is whether this will be understood as a priority task? And the third question is how does this combine with the inertial, motor-driven remaining orientation to the west (the so-called West-centrism)? And to be more precise, it is still the AUTOMOTIVE PRESERVATION of the "illusion of Anglo-American-European civilizational superiority." In this regard, we need to understand that the arms race is a very unpleasant thing. If we can stand up against the billion-dollar West, we are in the number of 140-150 million, having by no means the best weakly militarized economy in the world, and they are a militarized developed economy, then THIS is WONDERFUL. People just don't understand today — how? And if not, then — how should this be done in conditions when, in fact, West-centrism permeates the entire elite, and it permeates it — why? Yes, because it was built that way. They were built within the framework of the Old Control Program through these people who are "in power" today. But **NOT COMPLETED**... They got the salt of the earth — these are rich people — entrepreneurs who partially spend their time with their families abroad, who identify themselves quite strongly in the Western way, having corresponding offspring who also identify themselves. But today there were words that the salt of our Land is those who are fighting in the Donbas and in Ukraine as a whole. They are heroes, and this heroism is needed. This is a step towards understanding what is happening in reality. This is like a kind of cultural outgrowth that transforms the entire structure in the same way as a return to divisions — a military outgrowth. And this is **NO LONGER a MECHANICAL** process, but a meaningful one.

⁴ **MERITOCRACY** (lit. — the power of the worthy, from Lat. meritus — worthy and Greek. $\kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \sigma \varsigma$ — power), a form of government based on the principle of individual merit. In this form of power, the most worthy, competent and talented people from different social strata come to rule society.

So, if we want to seriously stand and be in the ideological vein according to which we are a plus, they are a minus, this is standing in some kind of opposition. If the Iron Curtain and many other things built on their side come back with this, should we think that the Soviet experience is the experience of some collapsed "absurdity of the Soviet Union" and that our situation is much better now, and we will still improve it, and that standing up is like brushing our teeth? I'm afraid that this is an EXCESSIVELY PROSPEROUS point of view. It is better to have a moderately excessively dysfunctional point of view than an excessively prosperous one. We already had this point of view successfully when the official military operation in Ukraine began. It was carried out on the assumption that there would be no mobilization, and so on and so forth. This excessive prosperous point of view **DID NOT LEAD** to an optimal result. And this suggests that this is the subsystem itself, which is called the Army within this state, it is NOT complete JUNK, but it is not the splendor that was described. Against this background, were Krzhizhanovsky, Krasin, Lenin, Stalin and other such idiots when they built a state in a certain way and understood that they would have to build a state of fundamental confrontation with a huge powerful Western civilization?! Look carefully - mighty Asia is rising. The West knocked itself out when it ensured the rise of China, India, as well as other Southeast Asian states, which fully swear to the idea of catching up in development. As we see today, these states are by NO **MEANS EAGER** to **SUPPORT** Russia in a straightforward manner and with an exclamation mark. These states today are looking for their national benefit. If it is profitable for them to have a certain balance between the West and us, they exist in this balance formula. If it is **PROFITABLE** for them to GET something from us at lower prices, they get it, if it is profitable for them to deliver **NOT** the **BEST**, but what we "eat", they will supply it.

They are waiting, they have discovered, as it were, that we are on the edge of this confrontation, they applaud this confrontation. They sympathize with us inside, they do not want to completely switch to the side of the West, but they want to suck two mothers. And they will do it. So, after all, we are talking about the fact that we need to confront this West with a small population, with a very unkempt country, with a huge number of problems, with sparsely populated territories, with these cut-offs that have been



carried out for 30 years. And here — isn't the Soviet experience something that should be used? **But we know that our so-called elite has been standing at the door for all these 30 years.** While we are all (understanding and not understanding) we were standing at this door, we already understood **WHAT EXACTLY HAPPENED** — how exactly our society has changed, what hardships it has embarked on and how many "magnificent institutions" have been created for this entry! All these WTO's, the World Health Organization, the Bologna education system, and so on and so forth. Today we understand what kind of elite has been formed over these 30-40 years. I, like many, abhor the word elite. But the elite is the ruling class, and we put it on our necks ourselves. However, to be more precise, it was within the framework of the processes of the Old control system, when creating the "golden billion" and "golden million", which DID NOT TAKE PLACE and WILL NEVER TAKE PLACE again. But the auto motor has remained! I draw attention to the substantial, representative and positional essence of the modern "assortment" of the elite as having dressed up through their formalized tribune appearance and embracing the whole range of interests and aspirations of the free (from the values they create) people of the whole country with the front of their targeted "liberal-democratic" aspirations. It is necessary to ask a **NON-STANDARD** question: are they at all legitimate real delegated representatives on behalf of today's humiliated and socially disadvantaged overwhelming majority? Are they not the HIDDEN STIMULATOR of increasing violence and parasitism, a deterrent to the automotive retention of the illusion of Anglo-American-European civilizational superiority relative to Russia? It is from the perspective of such a fair issue that it is advisable to consider what is happening today. This elite was formed on the basis of a phenomenon *called the anti-Soviet* consensus. I want to explain here, but outside the framework of the standard thinking imposed on us. Simplifications of brain functions in individuals with the 4XX genotype ("the ruling elite today"), in terms of their influencing significance throughout society and the usefulness of their actions and their very existence within the framework of ongoing processes, occurred due to a FUNDAMENTAL CHANGE in the very essence of energy-informational translation by the recreating new Control System to support the performing actions of people in general. This is a system process. It proceeds independently of the will and consciousness of people. There is a completely different orientation in the preparation and execution of actions and events. Those former goals, techniques and methods of action and their management are already becoming an atavism. The preservation of a part of the controlling energy-informational support for them is connected with ensuring their biological existence and accompanying actions related to the destruction of the old Structure of their organized existence. This simplification has occurred since the "Final change of the System Power". This "simplification" is increasing, which, in fact, is observed today. I DO NOT DISCUSS this logic presented today by this "elite" — it is what it is, but within this logic, for those who DO NOT UNDERSTAND the realities, it is much more effective and reasonable to say that Lenin made Ukraine too powerful, that is, to continue using anti-Soviet linguistics, than to talk about what is really the only ideological, moral and other justification for what happened for them. And the only ideological, moral, metaphysical, and any other justification for what happened lies in the following simple thing — within the framework of the implementation of the final stages of the Old system of governance, instead of cohesive republics (formed according to the ideal principle — the Union of indestructible republics of the free united forever Great Russia), they, these republics, became sovereign and independent.

What did they start doing? Immediately they began to rebuild in an anti-Russian way (to the least extent it affected Belarus). They HAD NO special experience of a separate existence. What is the great state of Uzbekistan or Kazakhstan? It's a Chimera. What are the Transcaucasian states? These are half-timers who address some precedent of their own from the very, very distant past. And Ukraine? This is a complete chimera, it could only separate itself with hypernationalist utopian anguish and be built as something absolutely autonomous. And everywhere in these states waves of nationalism were rising, that is, "these Russians" were expelled. The illegal collapse of the Soviet Union led to a huge infringement of the rights of the Russian population and its expulsion from the land, because its rights were not protected by anything. This group of people in almost all the breakaway republics that called themselves states has become **OPPRESSED AND UNNECESSARY**. And a lot of optimal things in other conditions were destroyed, and the population returned to some kind of Middle Ages and was fueled by cave nationalism by a refined nationalist elite. Who is she, this elite, inspired by? On Russians — on doctors, teachers, engineers, on everything else that supported the framework of modernity at that time. Could it have happened painlessly? No! Already at the moment when the Bialowieza took place, the future blood was laid. The civil war in Tajikistan, for example, alone destroyed several hundred thousand lives, including children and the elderly. This is all the result of what? The result of Soviet absurdity? No, this is the result of criminal Belovezha. And this truth must be told to the world by looking into his eyes. And although it has already been said that the collapse of the Soviet Union is a political catastrophe; and it has already been said that the West is satanic; and it has already been said that we stood at the door for too long and then moved away from it, but not EVERYTHING has BEEN SAID so far. And everything is not said, because IT ALL remains OUTSIDE the CONSENSUS OF the elite. But then it is the counterelite (at best) or marginals, and the elite in today's understanding is **JUST BEGINNING** to form, like the salt of the earth, in Ukraine. But it is only being formed. Therefore, let this elite that exists today (in any state and movement) win. But how can this victory be built in the most acute confrontation, more acute than in the Cold War era with a very ambiguous position of Great Asia? The question is — WHERE SHOULD I GO? We need an army of three million. This is not three million people receiving slightly more than in the province. This is three million HIGHLY PAID SPECIALISTS of the highest qualification, corresponding to moral motivation and all the rest. It costs a lot. This is not 2% of the budget and not 3, and not 5, and not 7. If there is no alternative, then it should be so. But this cannot exist by itself, it must be a militaryindustrial complex — not the one that exists now, but self-sufficient. We need another militaryindustrial complex. What is being said now is that there will be as much money as there needs to be. It's great that the financial system survived; it's great that the counters are full and everything else. Only happiness is not in money here, not in buying something for this money, but in HAVING SOMETHING to buy. And the goods that need to be bought, including tanks and missiles and everything else, must be produced at reserve capacities that do not exist. This means that these capacities will need to be created, but THEY CANNOT be CREATED **INSTANTLY**. Many people ask again and again: "Stalin dreamed only of fighting on foreign territory and with little blood? But then why did he build zero cycles in the Urals?".

He was building, and therefore it was possible to quickly switch to military rails. The plants were

dual-use and had reserve capacity. For example, a pasta factory produced pasta today, and artillery powder tomorrow. And all the Soviet gerontocrats after the war — all the Ustinovs and others — they kept these reserve capacities because they thought there would be a war and wanted to win. They still remembered what it was. How to deal with it now? Whose brilliant brain destroyed the production of ball bearings in Russia? Thanks to Belarus for what they



produce there, and everything is fine. But it has been done. For what? How to restore this VPK? It cannot be restored without the entire industry, LARGE AND STATE-OWNED (not private) industry — machine tool construction first of all, and for this all we need is an engineering corps the Soviet one. We need new industries, such full-fledged ones as electronics. And this is trillions of dollars, and this engineering corps needs science that will feel "on a horse." Apparently, we STILL HAVE other wars ahead of us in various forms of their possible manifestation. As an example, pay attention to how many biological laboratories have recently been opened around the world and, in particular, in countries around Russia. Today, this is a real automotor activity within the framework of the implementation of the "golden billion" program with the subsequent destruction of all others on Earth. And this automotoricity is there! So, we need our own science IN A LOT OF areas. Not a copy of the Western science, but our own, which will absorb and rethink all the most powerful Western science, and for this an **IDEOLOGY** is already needed. And there is no need to nationalize kebab shops and everything related to consumer goods, or even something more that can work so that the counters are full. Any private owner of this type should be freed from today's too intrusive guardianship. Give him more opportunities, untie his hands, stop bureaucratically pressing him. And if all this is done this way, if we have to win all the time in this confrontation, including military, *then there* will be a new Russia. Today I am more than sure that those who BUILT the PREVIOUS one should build it, because any other alignment with "sweeping to the ground, and then ..." is fraught with occupation. But it is necessary to build something! This is already obvious. And then the question arises — how to build it quickly? The West does not want to destroy itself, it wants to destroy us. We have two tasks — FUNCTIONING AND DEVELOPMENT. It is possible to function only with the support of existing institutions, and they must be supported, each of their soft reforms in the right direction should be welcomed, they must stand. But we still face the task of development, because without development, even our radical victory is doubtful. Maybe the existing system, straining muscles and volitional potential, which it has zero, mobilizes the partial rationality available.

But without intensive development, this is a path to nowhere, because further there will be even bigger thickenings, even bigger troubles, they will increase avalanche-like, within the framework of the new control system, which is only gaining momentum. Therefore — with loudmouthed — *"right now we will demolish everything and then we will build..."* — it is necessary to finish. Demolish and build nothing. And you can't take it down — it's **NOT CAPABLE** today either. We need something else. We need a filigree responsible strategic work.

K. Marx has such a term — SPIRITUAL PRODUCTION. No one wants to remember that in explaining this, Marx said that the most important productive part is the power of man, and his spiritual production produces it. Today the question arises — what kind of person does our modern spiritual production produce? It has been producing a **QUALIFIED CONSUMER** for many years — I hope the reader understands why. And this has led to the fact that most have become technocrats. What is technocracy? This is a synonym, first of all, for lack of ideas. We have a wonderful technocratic apparatus — wherever they turn, we will go there. And what happened at the exit, which you and I saw with our own eyes today in all its glory? What is the Skolkovo experience or the RusNano experience? This is the experience of destroying high-tech productive forces, but we got a lot of things with the prefix "nano-". The nanomaterial from which the uniform of the fighters on the front line is sewn and in which they simply freeze today, and the like. It's good that the "nanopushki" and "nansnaryady" did not have time to "do". There are many "nano examples" to give. And now we have to fix it all, restore and recreate. But the question arises - how could it happen like this, if we saw that in many processes of what happened, as we thought, authoritative people were at the helm? But authority should be based not on past achievements, rather on what a person is at the GIVEN **MOMENT.** If yesterday he was great, and today he is stupid, his authority will harm the cause. As a visual illustration, the example of Stalin. This man had an **EXORBITANT AUTHORITY**, which was a plus for that situation. But he didn't have the sense **NOT** to **SPEAK** out on topics he didn't understand. He took and spoke about cybernetics and genetics, calling them bourgeois pseudosciences. As a result, the USSR has fallen catastrophically behind the West in these areas. But it was an objective process within the framework of the same Old control system for solving specific tasks. Today, the **RESTRICTION** of **INFORMATION** of a controlling nature has led to a corresponding subsequent restriction of the states of "public consciousness", a significant change in the formation of "needs" as the basis for subsequent practical actions (focused mainly on a sharp increase in uncontrollable aggression, an increase in insane vicious hobbies, including unlimited material accumulation by violent means, destructive violence in any contiguous sphere of being and so on), and also to simplify the elements of the residual unstable worldview (including the organization of conspiracies of various scales, the redistribution and remaking of the world, etc.), LIMITED OPPORTUNITIES in finding solutions, and much more, previously uncharacteristic for many.

Moreover, all new information of a controlling nature, transmitted from the side of the recreating true Control Complexes, is accepted by those whom we call the political elite in a limited format (for "technical reasons"), mainly focused only on unconditional execution and within the framework of actions related to the destruction of THEIR PREVIOUSLY CREATED old Structure of organized existence. This is a short explanation of what is said above, nothing more. However, there is an understanding of what is happening today in our purely human and emotional perception, which is inherent in the vast majority. That's why, looking into our past, into our great Soviet past, which rose unspeakably during the Great Patriotic War and which then shamefully collapsed, looking into our present and into the fact that this pro-Western Russia, standing at the door, suddenly became the leader of confrontation with the West, which before our eyes is turning into the natural enemy of humanity, looking at all this, we are simultaneously looking into the future, in which either great and successive novelty, or death. That is why I am speaking now and I am sure that the reader will support me — Long live our future! The future, in a completely new country, and it does **NOT MATTER** what it will be called - Russia, the USSR or something else. It will be a huge territory where people will understand WHY and FOR WHAT they live on this earth, loving this earth and sincerely, and with all responsibility to it — as it was quite recently, just 18-19 thousand years ago!

All that I have outlined here is a small but significant piece in the implementation of the most global task with a unifying idea for all people — "To Defeat Death". An idea that is no more global in the world today.

P.S.



"It has long been possible to predict that this rabid hatred, which has been growing stronger and stronger every year in the West against Russia, will break loose someday. That moment has come..."

"Russia was simply offered self-deception, renunciation of the very basis of its existence, a solemn recognition that it is nothing else in the world, as a wild and ugly phenomenon, as an evil that requires correction"

"There is nothing more to deceive yourself - Russia, in all probability, will enter into a fight with the whole of Europe"

Fyodor Ivanovich Tyutchev, 1854 On the eve of the Crimean War

F. Shkrudnev 04 February 2023