

Salvation of the Saviors

(16_series 369)

THE REASON FOR EXPEDIENCY

*We are all in a hurry for miracles
But there is nothing more wonderful
Than the earth under the heavens
Where your home is...*



All of us who are alive today are extremely fortunate to be participants in the historic moment when the true conditions of our normal existence are being restored to our planet. Before our eyes, there are extremely large-scale **PROCESSES OF REAL TRANSFORMATION** as to the depth and essence, time and space, complexity and pinpoint accuracy, of both the life-providing environment around us and ourselves, which are basically taking place without our proper awareness of what is going on. All of this is **BEYOND OUR CAPABILITY** to influence what is happening. This should be taken as a given, without making sudden conclusions, or relying on the information that flows in an endless stream and is incredibly difficult to understand it, if of course there is a desire. ***Even those who consider themselves "knowledgeable, intelligent and "chosen"***. This is an incredibly difficult period, a time of changes in everything that we are used to and what we have learned, what we were able to do and what we aspired to, what we dreamed of and what we planned. Everything is changing, but this is not some kind of apocalypse. Man receives caring and powerful support, but it does not mean manna from heaven. We have already begun and we still have to overcome a lot of difficulties, we will have to **REDISCOVER** the true World, which is entirely different, find new technologies of a higher level and order, and re-develop our native Earth under quite different environmental conditions.

Look at the floods, hurricanes, temperature changes, unusual phenomena in the atmosphere, fires covering vast areas, the rampant so-called "Virus" walking around the world – this is the confirmation of what I am writing about. There can be no instructions for actions here. All elements of the initiative should be welcomed. However, the main thing, in my opinion, is still **PEOPLE'S QUEST FOR** knowledge and understanding, even though the most real, for some reason, always turns out to be the most distant. And the most real of today, to which pure existence, expediency and understanding belong, is so different from human concepts and reflections that it does not echo and does not cooperate with them, that they neither resonate nor cooperate with them, but use them in their own interests. ***Expediency acts through causality.*** Hence the serene, even careless calm with which people take stock of the shattered economy, according to their knowledge and understanding. Even today, only human things can be considered by the human mind; there is no calamity or limitation in this. Something different, high and abstract **WILL NOT MANIFEST ITSELF** other than hidden in the ordinary. It is necessary to look into the familiar to see how it is used by permanent forms for its own purposes, and even more so today, in this cycle of a huge number of events taking place around us and before our eyes. The world in its entirety would be solved and understood – if the cause and effect were clarified. But they are not clarified and **WILL NEVER BE** clarified. Out of the clarity of this darkness grows the last understanding, full of inexplicable interest. So is the opposite between causal and target explanations. The goal does not displace the cause, but simply stands next to it as a ruler from another world. In expediency, we see a remarkable phenomenon of the domination of non-existence over being, of what does not yet exist, over what is or is being accomplished. And therefore, one should not be complacent when encountering a primitive scheme, as if an expedient action exists first in the mind. Be sure: that "expedient action" does not exist in advance at all in any sphere of the intellectual world available to us ***and is comprehended only in hindsight, and is not guided from the very beginning by some predetermined plan of expediency.*** The point of the goal is not in the concept, but **IN THE BEING ITSELF**, which for our vision is no different from non-being and is not reduced to the potential existence of the goal in the mind. After all, the phenomenon of expediency seems to have a form of existence that is eternally real. They are hidden from us precisely by their eternal reality. Because they always exist in advance, already have an unconditional existence, needing nothing else – that is why they paradoxically remain in non-existence for us and turn out to be the goal. But this, as I believe, is the dark side of the issue, which requires the most accurate research and effort in thinking. The fact that the main non-existent, subject to implementation first of all, remains something eternally abiding, is no longer a mystery, the acuteness of which today is more and more manifested by everything that is happening around us and everything that gives us the possibility to know why we ended up exactly in the situation in which we find ourselves today, and what has happened on our Earth for the last 18,000 years, and most importantly, what it could lead to if we were **GIVEN** to realize it! I do not want to and will not smooth out the edges from what was and from what is today. I just ***want the information that is given in these articles of the series_369 to change the very essence of your understanding,*** requiring more and more attention and comprehension of the information. This, in my opinion, is the most important goal.

Today, the majority takes as a basis an arbitrarily chosen statement they like and from it deduce their truths, which constitute the pattern of life. Why is it people never ask themselves what the statement they liked grew out of, which they raised to the status of absolute truth? I believe that they subconsciously **DO NOT WANT** to know the parents of their truth out of a subconscious fear that they will not like their parents. And the truth produced by them will suddenly swing. ***And a person does not want his truths to swing.*** People perceive any threat to what they have established and taken for granted as a threat to life. Therefore, they are **NOT INCLINED** to comprehend their "abc truths", which are mostly taken from the past. People are determined to protect their only landmarks. To lose them is like going blind. Therefore, people, especially today, react negatively to unusual information. Anyone who wants to understand, and **NOT TAKE** anything on faith, seeks to get to the very original point of reference of all his truths. I see this point as a desire for the good, in the full scope of this word. This helps to understand the true intentions of people, and not to be deceived and not to deceive yourself.

For the world to become a better place, it is necessary to understand human nature as it is, and not as moralizers draw it. They attribute to a person qualities that he cannot have due to the order of things. People strive to match these qualities, but since it is impossible to go against nature, they have no choice but to be hypocritical. This is also a desire for the good. But if hypocrisy is good for us, WE are LYING, so I still tend to believe that tying ourselves to the past is an indicator of limitation for the most part. Traditions in modern society are "sharpened" under this binding – it is considered bad, for example, not to visit burial sites to honor the memory of the dead, it is important to celebrate event cycles – birth, a huge number of holidays and memorable dates like years lived together, everyone considers memorial events of a delayed plan to be a worthy thing – placing plaques, monuments, journalism "in memory of...", honourably digging up and clarifying the details of some events of the past without hope of knowing the actual reality. Any direct deviations from the above are sincerely condemned, or at least meet with misunderstanding, because restrained rejection of any direct deviation from the above – ***the violation of traditions is somehow associated with the violation of the stability of existence***, so the dislike. No one gives any adequate and unemotional reasons for this. The statement; ***"There is no future without the past"*** can just as well be attributed to cliches, as the tradition of arranging cemeteries exactly in the way they are arranged now, for example, in Siberia. But in Europe it is different. In India, they bury in a different way. Stamps of different scales and patterns, but still these are rules that are not realized and not understood by people, which are simply followed, because otherwise there is either uncertainty and instability, or censure, because of which there can also be uncertainty and instability. Or they do not follow, but then they do not advertise it, so as not to run into condemnation, the constant pressure of which is also uncertainty and instability. However, man is a single construction, and humanity is a multitude. The comfortable existence of many different "units" is the stability that everyone wants, but within the framework of the **PREVIOUSLY GIVEN MANAGEMENT**. Therefore, many people who are guided by what is happening have questions – ***when will this transition period end, when will it become easier, when and who or through what, will this load be removed?...***

Take your time with the answer and think about it and the answer **WILL COME** to you by itself – **NEVER**. Why do we need a fixed self-sufficient non-developing structure? Such a construction, as we know – is the Ebrov "civilization". "We tried it" (not us – people), tried it on – the failures of this option are obvious. So, we need to look for another way – which is not perceived by today's many human units, ***because it is impossible due to inconsistency with the existing attitudes in consciousness.***



And when they began to change from the outside – we, every human unit of the existing set, began to creak and groan, slowly swaying, pulling (using all the arsenal of possibilities, intelligence) our traditions to new settings, clinging to them and changing them at the same time to keep within a given vector. In this regard, I consider what I write in my articles and books to be constructions that facilitate and accelerate the "breaking" that occurs in people.

I try to encourage readers, to make their Brains change faster and more perfectly, but these changes require me to constantly increase the depth and scale of what I write and give away, ***as information that is either accepted and understood or not accepted and rejected.***



If a present day reader of my writings turns to my works of 7-8 years ago, he might be puzzled to some extent with what was written for him of 7-8 years ago. And what, to entrust yourself to traditions and generally accepted norms? How to relate the postulates of the eternity of some immutable truths to the happenings ***in which one's own beliefs are broken, changed and perfected daily?***

But still, in everyday terms, the human singularity and the human multitude are a reality, and it is necessary to somehow reconcile and combine them, and this is subject only to those who think today in the realities of what is happening (right, wrong – it does not matter, the main thing is thinking). Therefore, even if I am convinced, for example, that using a medical mask is nonsense, I will still wear it out of respect for those who consider it nonsense not to use it in the current conditions. If I am convinced of something that others **DO NOT ACCEPT**, I will still treat them with respect, because I respect their own beliefs, even if they are different from mine, but at least they are there. Moreover, on a different scale of perception, both are mistaken.

In many works, I propose in my arguments and schemes to rely strictly on the foundation built from blocks of information about the software improvement of Brain genotypes under the control of the Ebrov system. However, as I am convinced, this base is too imperfect and unreliable (for many), if only because, like similar blocks of the foundation for the worldview of a dying civilization, it is too rigidly fixed and limited. No matter how hard you try, **IT DOES NOT BECOME** the basis on which the reader can reliably build anything. It is itself a superstructure. The basis is perceived, as I understand it, for most readers to be something else, some arrangement which allowed these Ebrov plans to be realized (as we know – not to completion) and "launch" what is happening now in all its scale. But anyway, the reader is forced to take some of the information that I give for reflection as a given. For some it is very comfortable, and for others it is not. We, everyone, look at what is happening from our bell tower, and I do not get tired of being surprised that there are people who are ready to replace the old foundation, religious, atheistic or any other, with a new one similar in structure, just with different characters. Well, probably, this is still a step forward (or at least taking your ass off the couch), but is this enough? Any gaps in the understanding of what is happening are closed with the phrase **"it was programmatically determined"** – without expanding on the basis of which such capabilities, using which such constructions it was implemented. Any "edible" explanation for the current society (and its individual representative) must be based on some **OLD FOUNDATIONS** of **UNDERSTANDING** reality due to the absence of others, otherwise this explanation is **SIMPLY NOT PERCEIVED**. I still try to "pull out" individual constructions from the conceptual apparatus of a modern intellectually developed person (but, unfortunately, there are not many of them) and tie them to a new system of worldview and his type of thinking. This at least leads to an expansion of the understanding and outlook of anyone who reads what is offered to him. It does not matter whether he agrees with the above or not. Any result of familiarization expands the scope of understanding. And then the definitions fade into the background – only understanding remains. Any single or cumulative current fact, a person can easily pull up under his own framework of thinking or simply reject it. The process of comparing one's own understanding or way of thinking with someone else's will irreversibly change the original version of thinking or understanding. Even if the proposed, for some reason, will be rejected. The only thing that will not change is what it will not be possible to touch – that is, what will not be said.

To prove and try to convey something to someone who **DOES NOT WANT IT** seems to be a completely waste of effort and time – *but just getting acquainted, even if categorically rejected, I AM MORE THAN SURE*, will change the framework of thinking somewhat, will make the Brain work a little differently – even if they say out loud "complete nonsense" – the seed is already! planted – and after some time *it will rise under the influence of the outside*. In my opinion, this is about how "translation" works – it is perceived and decoded by everyone in accordance with the capabilities of the Brain and basic training. It is good if all this is combined harmoniously, and if not – it just takes time and, most importantly, the state of the search. Here, for example, in previous articles, I specifically took the mentality as a kind of voluminous, but for many "muddy concept". I made an attempt to cling to it in the consciousness and understanding of each reader. Surely, all the related constructions of understanding have expanded – but everyone who has read is sure, I have changed significantly in my thinking, even if he **DID NOT AGREE** with what he read. Do I have the right to hope that someone will understand, perceive this as realizable and begin to act in the direction of the information that is given, even if it is not voiced directly, but is brought to it gradually? After all, it is working only for its creators.

And how many individuals in today's society will be found who at least bother to get acquainted with this information for their own understanding, even if they do not understand it, but **AT LEAST GET** to get acquainted with this information for their own understanding? And is it possible to bring a goal, an idea to someone in this way? I don't think so. But it is possible to bring consciousness and way of thinking to a state in which the goal can be perceived or generated, born, lowered to the level of active consciousness (and consciousness is raised to the required level) of someone who is currently reading and either does not understand, or does not accept, or rebels when trying to sort out this tangle of approaches to the nature of man, consciousness, mind, which is tied to the concept of mentality. However, an additional and integral bonus of digging into this mess is bringing to an important conclusion that the inventions of even the most respected and venerable scientists in any field of science (scientific knowledge) are exceptionally limited in their majority. And those that are less limited can be counted on the fingers of one hand. And it turns out that there is **NO ONE** to rely on, so there is only one way out – *for everyone to understand themselves and look for their own answers*.

Nowadays, the amount of available information allows a person to comprehend for himself the unknown, if his mind is motivated. The quantity and quality of vital information is concluded between the level of available knowledge and the ability of the mind to grow within the framework of an adequate understanding. The level of the mind's ability to grow directly depends on the amount of information already embedded in the mind. *That is – the more a person knows, the more he wants to know*. The framework of an adequate understanding is something that does not allow the mind to fantasize and, of course, to lie, but within the framework of a specific mentality (brain genotype).

The parasitic way of life, understood through the kind of bio-variants of pictures from the fate of mushrooms, roundworms and various “riders”, flies and other things that were conveyed to us in school lessons on natural history and biology, unambiguously and deeply rooted in us **NOT ONLY** the depravity and, as it were, the injustice of such a way of life, but also the strict one-sided nature of this multi-faceted and ambiguous phenomenon with a less superficial attitude.



As a small push to expand ideas in this area, we can consider and try to comprehend the possibility of implementing **parasitic development** (development at the expense of another), **parasitic nutrition** (nutrition at the expense of other organisms, that is, to their detriment), **parasitic recovery** (restoring the "shirt" using, for example, the potential of another Brain) – and the like, no less absurdly unambiguous and as if perceived exactly as taught by older comrades in the person of parents, educators, teachers, and others. Only when you start thinking in this direction and try to avoid thinking within the framework of such an understanding as the fact that the student is grateful to the teacher, and the teacher has a need to transfer knowledge, which means that this is not like parasitism; that the healer/doctor is devoted to his profession and for him the business of his life is to return lost health that the existing food chains are closed, and if to look in perspective, the consumption of someone for food is a certain fundamental basis of life, and, therefore, as a priori it is beautiful and **CANNOT BE** attributed to parasitism, it is possible to successfully, thoroughly and provably separate severe and unprincipled parasitism from some vital necessity – well, it is simply impossible otherwise, one must eat something in order not to die... And in general, for infringement on the sacred - "... you can get in the neck".


The cited example is our thinking in the so-called "binary system of thinking", which is discussed in detail in N. Levashov's book *"The Final Appeal to Mankind"*.

"The basis of an extremely poor personality are the concepts of **YES AND NO**, as if they really exist and repeatedly manifest themselves in the step-by-step analysis of any complex process. At the same time, the number of steps in the analysis is of course and, most often, very small, even if you are investigating a fairly serious problem. The search for an answer is reduced to choosing one of two, where two is the number of steps of possible solutions, while the most correct solution lies between them."

Without aggravating a delicate problem, somehow covered up by a completely unsuccessful disguise, it is enough **FOR YOURSELF TO TOUCH** on these aspects of one's own existence – but not in order to reproach oneself for using explicit or hidden parasitism (although it is not forbidden – in fact it turns out to be an entertaining and developing occupation) with regard to completely, as if innocent "donors", ***but in order to realize this phenomenon as a whole and multifaceted – if the parasite does not have a "donor", then all this complexity and versatility will begin to fall apart***, forming at the same time from its own remnants and fragments a variety of simplified parasitic constructions until the stage of the final completion of their fading-dying functioning – on the condition of the complete cessation of the external control of the old CS and overcoming the auto-motor-like thinking, which it nevertheless left in us. And with the current process of the control changing within the framework of the new (native) CS, all the participants in the former functional-constructive organization will be reshuffled, sifted and, after rearranging in line with other functional-target endowments, form some other constructions, which we are observing today and **TRYING TO COMPREHEND**. The painfulness of such a process is determined by the complete misunderstanding and lack of awareness by its participants of what is happening due to their own severely distorted organization – for those who have always taken, it is extremely difficult even to think to ***not take and giving is possible. To those who have always given it seems incredible to take something***. This is in a primitive version for an approximate understanding of the scale of the problem. Again, examples, unencumbered by the complexities of life. An American, happily living on unemployment benefits, finds it difficult to understand an unreasonable need to start any activity. It would be even more difficult to explain to a single mother with two children that she should stop working for her uncle and find something to do. But the point, on the whole, should be clear and understandable – if a certain control scheme was organized on a planetary scale its change **IMMEDIATELY NOT JUST** affects, but ***is carried out through a fundamental transformation of every controlled element***. Through everyone! Accepting the fact, that the control on a parasitic basis is being changed (in our understanding) for some other, we, as the elements of this very parasitic design, can very limitedly make an assumption about some **OTHER STRUCTURE** and the principles of its construction. But even in this case, our ideas and fantasies will be built according to the old "parasitic" scheme.

We are doomed, by the characteristics of our Brain and consciousness, to use what has been given. It is so unambiguously limited that any option beyond it, including one that is deeply true in its direction, will certainly be perceived as **"wrong, delusional, crazy"** – and taken negatively, implicitly or explicitly, it will cause the **ABSOLUTE REJECTION** by the **MAJORITY**, which will result in at least ignoring and, more often, outright censure based on misunderstanding.

Analyzing my life path today and precisely in the paradigm that I outlined above, back in 1993 -1994, after the signing of the "Agreement on Public Consent" (1994), according to which, few people now know – we still live, I realized that I would live in a disgusting country with a very disadvantaged population, a monstrous elite and more than strange political parties that will chatter that they express the interests of the people. I realized that this would all be monstrously bad. And I will have to live in this monstrously bad environment, because I **CANNOT LIVE OUTSIDE OF RUSSIA**, and Russia is what it is.



And I realized even more – I will have to protect it, because all this can collapse overnight. The state, at that time, was very easy to bring down. But then, without a doubt, occupation troops will appear on the territory of Russia, American helicopters will fly, and everything will become completely fatal. So you need to live inside this monstrous trouble. This is a fatal necessity. Given – it will be necessary to love, protect and somehow exist in this, and it is possible to exist as a whole, only **WITHOUT HURTING** your human dignity and the representation of your values in any way. And thus, my understanding left an imprint on everything that happened. At that time, **I DID NOT HAVE** the information that I have now and thought within the framework of the understanding that I wrote about above.

And when some very pretentious groups start shouting about how "life in Russia is disgusting", I can only answer one way: ***it is even more disgusting than you are aware, However I have known about it for a long time.*** And if something surprises me, gives me hope, it is that **IT IS NOT AS DISGUSTING** as it could have been if what happened, ***if not for the events after 2011.04.24.*** What happened is that the Earth comes to its controlled natural states after the change of the Control System and is getting free from the previous external interventionist control dependence, bringing itself and its programmed of development harmony into its true nature, **At a HIGH RATE** embodying rehabilitation into the previous states of the general program event chronoprocess, and most importantly, bringing all true and native Control Complexes into their effective natural (physical) nature. And all this is being done today, before our eyes, within the framework of the Transition Period, and not sometime in the distant future! No nomenclature solutions of any former power level of people from the Constructions of the former controlled existence of people are required for this. All of them are free from "human concern for their own existence". Zeal through violence and parasitism is **NO LONGER NECESSARY**, and it will not work. The time of "interventional support" in the performing actions of the society of people is gone. But there is still a structure built within the framework of what was conceived in the past – the State. Many people think that the state is a matter for everyone. But the modern, so-called elite are ready to adapt to any state – strong, weak, rising, degrading, sovereign, colonial, socialist, liberal, nationalist – just to snatch their own. ***Giving is not for the elite. Rather taking is;*** to take away, select, appropriate, squeeze. These cynics do not build a state, but they can use it effectively – in their own interests, which is what is happening. So we need something else, something that will allow us to replace the state in our current understanding and ensure the activity and residence of people in the territory that we call the state today. But cynics and today's elite are not suitable for creating such a thing. They are active only when it comes to something personal, individual. And the construction of a New One (no matter what it is called) requires universal participation. This can be ensured only within the framework of a ***New "Social Contract"***, and within the framework of this contract, this New Thing can be defined, what will become an idea, an ideology, a goal, as a passion, as creativity, as the highest value. And for such an idea, appropriate elite is needed, for the creation of this new thing. The ideology of such an elite can be neither classical communism, nor liberalism, nor capitalism. So, we must think in a different direction, look for examples not in the history of Russia and other known Western and not modern societies. It requires a **DIFFERENT KIND** of **DILIGENCE** in its perfection – knowledge of a higher level, conscious comprehension and orientation in the development of states and elements of Consciousness and Mind. I don't see any obstacles to this. All this is possible and not so improbable. If there is a will – there will be a desire and an aspiration.



I will repeat once again the idea that I have expressed more than once. But this idea is extremely relevant today and requires a constant reminder. Life is like a tram controlled from a satellite. A man is sitting in the back seat. He has no idea where he wants to arrive in the end. The car continues on its way, and the person looks around. And one day he sees a cemetery in the distance...

Many people are not satisfied with life in a rut. ***They would like to go where they want, and not where the road leads.*** But in order to go to your goal, you need to know the purpose of life. Where can a person get such a goal? Accept someone's thoughts or revelations on faith? But if a person does not want to believe anything, if he wants to know, there is nothing left but to figure it out for himself. I hope, I really hope that everything I write will help in this.

F. Shkrudnev

02.08.2021