

# Salvation of the Saviors (series 48-369)

## Time to comprehend... (continued)

*Some attach more importance to the comprehension  
of historical events than to the very flow  
of the so-called iz(s)torii.*

Each person, being a part of objective reality, possesses only his own personal characteristics, which has been called "subjectivism". In the public life of people, it is the subjectivism of researchers, scientists, developers that is the source of the emergence of new knowledge and skills in culture. But they are also the main source of errors arising from various kinds of limitations and insufficiency of the subject. If someone expresses an opinion THAT **DOES NOT COINCIDE** with the generally accepted, prevailing one, then quite often he is reproached with the words: "*Ah... This is your opinion...*" However, in the overwhelming majority of cases, those who reproach others in this way for having their *own opinion* prefer **NOT** to **THINK** about the content of this opinion and how appropriately and proportionately the objective course of life events is expressed in it, and why exactly is the subjective opinion wrong.

There is such a category of people — simpletons. Without any reason, out of habit, they are **ARDENT ADHERENTS** of their faith. The simpletons consider their heart to be an indicator of the truth. What seems to them to be the truth, they consider to be the truth. Why? Well, how why... Because the heart feels the truth. This logic is so obvious to them that it's amazing to them what there is to argue about...

After reading the previous article and understanding little (this is his shock quality), the simpleton will think: here you are speaking different words, **CALLING** for **THINKING**, although there is nothing to think about, because everything is clear. Such a kind person will come to a temple (church, mosque, synagogue or to an idol), perform rituals, offer a prayer, light a candle (or its equivalent in other religions), and he will feel so **WARM IN HIS SOUL**, so good that no words are needed. Grace will flow right through the heart... And everything will become clear to anyone, without any thoughts. This **NAIVE PERSON** will advise not to speculate slyly, but to rely on the heart, because it knows the truth. There doesn't even seem to be anything to argue about. But... God grant you all the best, kind man, your words sound nice and correct by ear, but let's put them into practice. All religions claim that both the spirits of truth and the spirits of lies have access to the heart: "... *Satan himself takes the form of an Angel of light*" (2 Corinthians 11:14).

How do I find out who has access to your heart? Especially if it feels one thing, and the heart of a non-believer is different, and both of you are ready to die for your faith. If you learn with the help of your heart, there will be a vicious circle. If an entity appears to you tomorrow and introduces itself as God, how will you determine whether it is telling the truth or pretending to be someone it is not? Probably through the heart. But what about the statement that evil spirits have access to the heart? It is **IMPOSSIBLE** to **ANSWER** this question. It remains to hide behind sayings like: "God will not allow it" or "it's God's will for everything." For a simpleton of any faith, this argument is sufficient. If the believer **DOES NOT BELONG** to the blessed group of simpletons, he has no choice but to say that it is a sin to ask such questions. And why sin? If you are a Christian, didn't Christ say that blessed are those who thirst for the truth... Those who thirst, therefore, question. To whom everything is clear, there is nothing to ask. He who is not thirsty is not blessed. Many believers admit that the truth cannot be determined through spiritual experience, miracles and the heart. It is widely believed that **NOTHING DEPENDS** on a person in these matters. Chance and taste decide everything. Such people are encouraged to consider as truth what is accepted in their culture. They are guided by the proverb: "*... where I was born, I came in handy there...*" Or you can say: the program that was installed in me, this is what I do. They could have uploaded another, then I would have been the carrier of another, and would have followed it with the same zeal. But they installed this one. It turns out that whoever was born in Russia, for him the truth is in Orthodoxy. Whoever was born in Iran, for him the truth is Islam. Who was born in a tribe where a tree larva is worshipped, for him the truth is a larva. Well, and who was **NOT LUCKY** at all, and he was born on the Melanesian islands, for that the truth is in prayers to straw airplanes. Moreover, if they were born in another place, they would consider as truth what they now consider to be a lie. And what is considered divine truth today would be considered a satanic lie. This technology of determining the truth is akin to tossing a coin. The thrower, as it were, makes a guess: if an eagle falls out, I will consider Islam to be the truth. If tails — Hinduism. And if it lands on the edge, it means that from now on the truth for me is in shamanism. This was one of the conditions for the creation of "specified" brain genotypes, within the framework of the Old control program. It is useless to ask a question: where did he get the idea that the principle of «where he was born, is where it was useful» is the truth? In what religion is such a thing said? It is a simple fact that he is **NOT GOING** to think on such topics. What **SEEMS** to him to be the **TRUTH** is the truth for him.

The simpletons are the core of any believing community. They sincerely believe in everything that religions prescribe to believe. They **DON'T WANT** to know any facts. To analyze the dogmas of faith for a simpleton is equivalent to the intention to blaspheme. Because if you **DON'T INTEND** to blaspheme, why do you need to analyze? *It is necessary to believe, not to investigate...* Metropolitan Filaret of Moscow once talked with an English bishop. And he asked him if he believed that a whale could swallow a whole person — Jonah. The metropolitan replied that if it had been written in the Bible that Jonah swallowed a whale, he would have believed it.


Such a simpleton was Tertullian<sup>1</sup>, who lived in the II century, elevated by the Church to the status of a Christian thinker. He stated: "We don't need curiosity after Christ, we don't need knowledge after the Gospel... Let curiosity give way to faith, let glory give way to salvation... To know nothing against faith is to know everything." He asked: what do the Athenians of Jerusalem or the Academy and the Church have in common? Our teaching is based on Solomon, who taught that God should be sought in simplicity of heart. He urged to reject common sense and to say to the arguments: "I believe, because it is absurd." This thesis was indeed a powerful bastion of the Christian faith. He

**ALLOWED** many theologians to **CONSIDER** themselves undefeated in polemics. When they were proved that all their arguments were absurd, contradictory and untenable, and they had nothing to object, lying on their shoulder blades, they took out of their pocket the magic: "***I believe, for it is absurd,***" and thus left undefeated. There is no chance to beat a man with such an ace up his sleeve. Tertullian considered



Scripture, the authority of the Church and the testimony of the soul to be the criterion of truth. But since this simpleton was **NOT QUITE** simple, but was reputed to be a philosopher, he recognized the monopoly of the Church on truth as long as it corresponded to his ideas about truth. But as soon as the Church ceased to conform to his ideal, he opposed it. At first, he became close to the Montanists, who were famous for extreme

austerity and denial of any power over themselves (for which they were declared a sect by the authorities). At the end of his life, Tertullian created his own sect and finally quarreled with state Christianity.



---

<sup>1</sup> **Quintus Septimius Florence (Florent) Tertullian** — one of the most prominent early Christian writers, theologians and apologists, the author of 40 treatises, of which 31 have survived. In the emerging theology, Tertullian was one of the first to express the concept of the Trinity.



Another simpleton of the same series, the Danish philosopher Kierkegaard<sup>2</sup>. He found Tertullian's argument very convincing and called for a return from the tree of knowledge to the tree of life, alluding to the story of Adam and Eve, who were expelled from paradise for starting to think. In the conflict of faith and reason, he taught to make a choice in favor of faith. And he gave the technology — by an effort of will to make a "leap of faith". But simple philosophers are an exception. Ordinary simpletons **DO NOT THINK**, because it is **NOT USEFUL** for the soul (this is their main saying). Normal simpletons simply believe in everything that is accepted to believe in their environment. Well... well, let it... In general,

they are good people.

Until the XIX century, such truth-finding technologies had, judging by the facts, many followers. Since the twentieth century, this wonderful technology has been out of business. A new person **DOES NOT WANT** to blindly believe, consider the absurd to be the truth and make leaps of faith. **HE WANTS TO UNDERSTAND**. He is not satisfied with the arguments that it is necessary, or because it is absurd. Modern people, especially after 2012, **CANNOT** accept the statements of religions on faith. They require evidence and arguments. But since no religion **CAN** give them, contemporaries **CANNOT** be truly religious. Our time is too bright for religions. **"Religions are like fireflies: they need darkness to shine"** (Schopenhauer). Humanity has become an adult and can no longer have a child's faith that allows you to believe in Santa Claus. Faith in a nice old man with a bag of gifts is a thing of the past, like children's toys. If aliens land on Red Square today, a person will recognize their technical superiority. But he will never recognize them as gods. Human nature is like this: if you show her something from which you can experience superstitious horror, for example, unknown technologies that allow you to walk on water, everything said by such a miracle worker will be considered true, no matter what nonsense he carries. He can talk about a round square, and in the minds of fans there will be **NO PLACE** for a question: how is this possible? Can such a figure be called a square? There is **NO PLACE** for all these questions in their heads, because the miracle they see will turn off their critical mind and common sense, and includes worship of the miracle worker. If you ask people what it means that the words of a person who can walk on water, or an animal that suddenly speaks in a human voice (let's say this), **ARE** the **TRUTH**, they will be surprised: how, from what? It's a miracle. I agree, a miracle is a phenomenon whose nature is beyond our development. How the sparks from amber when rubbing against the skin were also a miracle.

---

<sup>2</sup> **Søren Kierkegaard** (1813-1855) — a Danish theologian, philosopher, writer, and the founder of existentialism. It represents the three stages of human gesture, namely aesthetic, ethical and religious. Ultimately, with the stages of Seren, Kierkegaard divides people into four types: the philistine, the aesthetician, the ethicist, the religious conformity of a person.

But from what does it follow that a person rubbing amber on his skin is the source of truth? From observing a miracle, people fall into a **MENTAL STUPOR**, they are no longer up to analyzing the situation. They are in the position of the inhabitants of the Melanesian islands, who saw planes descending from the sky. The Papuans **COULD NOT EVEN** think of analyzing something. For them, every word of the American soldiers was a holy truth, which they reverently listened to. It didn't matter that the natives didn't understand anything. It is not necessary to understand the holy truths at all. It's even harmful to understand them... From understanding, they **LOSE** their **SANCTITY** and become just an event. But when you don't understand, but you believe in it, that's aerobatics. In the role of the source of holy truth, there may be a person who has **NOT PERFORMED** any miracles, but who has shown a different reality. Shamans of South America gave a person a concoction from a cactus containing mescaline (an analogue of LSD), or from a vine containing ayahuasca (an analogue of DMT). A person got into a **FANTASTIC WORLD**, which felt as realistic as our world. After such a journey, the shaman became a source of truth for him. The Buddha taught people the technology of entering a special state — meditation. The closest analogue of this state is **LUCID DREAMING**.

Anyone can master this technique if they are willing to apply the same perseverance, for example, to learn a foreign language. When people were immersed in a new state, they experienced a feeling comparable to the confusion of a Papuan wearing a virtual reality helmet. They were so shocked by what they saw that from that moment on they considered the Buddha to be the source of absolute holy truth. From now on, he could say



whatever he wanted **WITHOUT WORRYING** about conforming to common sense. **The students took everything on faith.** The incomprehensible referred to his own lack of intelligence. For the sake of justice, it should be noted that the Buddha never **DECLARED** his words to be true and did not call for self-belief. He warned against any blind faith: "Do not believe in guesses, that is, assuming something at random as a starting point, do not then draw conclusions from it - do not calculate your two and three and four before you have established your number one. Do not believe only on the basis of the authority of your teachers, do not believe and do not apply in practice just because they believe and apply in practice. I (the Buddha) am telling you; you have to know for yourself. Faith alone will not benefit anyone, but will cause grief." The Buddha **DID NOT POSITION** himself as a deity or a prophet. He didn't offer any worldview. In this he was no different from many other philosophers who reasoned about the existence of man and thought how to facilitate it. **People have created their own idol.**



The most famous example from modern times, when a person was elevated to the status of a **SOURCE** of **TRUTH** on the grounds that he taught people to enter a different reality, is Osho<sup>3</sup>, a popular personality of the late twentieth century. He **HAD NO** concept, no answers to the big questions: "I don't have a system. Systems can only be dead. I am a haphazard, anarchic stream, I am not even a person, but just a process. I do not know what I told you

yesterday." His teaching was **HIS MOOD**. But there was a hypnotic power in him. He was able to do things that had no rational explanation. On this basis, he was elevated to the status of a bearer of the holy truth and listened with fascination. No matter what he said, everyone believed it to be the truth. And they **DID NOT THINK** to critically comprehend what was said. Osho said that the mouth would speak, contradicting itself. When it was pointed out to him that yesterday sounded the opposite of what is heard today, he replied: "My friends are surprised: yesterday you said one thing, and today — another. Why should we obey? I can understand their bewilderment. They seized only on words. Conversations are of no value to me, only the voids between the words I utter — that's what's valuable. Yesterday I opened the doors to my emptiness with the help of some words, today I open them by resorting to other words." And normally, such an argument took place... This is characterized by the intellectual level of the mass — children in the adult trunk. And just as there is nothing for young children that they **COULD NOT** verify, so there is nothing for adult children that they would **NOT BELIEVE**. Children don't want to think where their presents come from under the Christmas tree. They cannot doubt that Santa Claus brought them. Similarly with adult children...

If believers fall into an intellectual stupor from the contemplation of miracles, then the simpletons fall into the same stupor from mere stories about miracles. Of course, they are very different from reality, compensating for the lack of clarity for the simpletons. In the novel "War and Peace" L. Tolstoy describes the property of the people to fall into the power of the wildest fables. He writes that there was always some vague talk among the peasants: then about the transfer of everyone to the Cossacks, then about a new faith, then about a new tsar, under whom everything would be so simple that nothing would happen. All this was mixed up in vague ideas about the antichrist, the end of the world and pure will. Mysterious streams of folk life, the origins of which are unknown, suddenly subordinated everyone to the rumor of relocation to some warm rivers.

---

<sup>3</sup> **Bhagwan Sri Rajneesh** (Osho; birth name – Chandra Mohan Jain) — a modern Indian spiritual teacher and leader, writer specializing in the genre of spiritual literature and esotericism.

Hundreds of peasants suddenly sold their property and whole families, with wives and children, rushed to these cherished lands. None of them had any idea what kind of warm rivers they were. For them, it was an **ATTRACTIVE IMAGE** that contained aspirations for the kingdom of goodness and justice. People died of cold and hunger on the way to the goal. Everything suddenly quieted down by itself, as it had begun, for no obvious reason. And then again it was just as strange, unexpected and at the same time simple, natural and strongly manifested.

Religion has turned from **A GUIDING STAR** into a cultural tradition. Even if there are grains of truth there, they are so cluttered with the desire of the authorities to turn it into a political tool, which caused appropriate additions, plus late layering and translations, that it is no longer possible to separate the wheat from the chaff. There is probably no doubt that – "You can't force an empty bag to stay straight." Most people **CANNOT** take religious statements seriously. Having taken the most loyal position for religion and presenting myself as a patient in front of whom there are a bunch of pills that are indistinguishable in taste, shape, color and smell, all poison, but one is a miraculous medicine, I do not see a chance to choose the true religion, guided by common sense. The rest of the "technologies" from the "feel with your heart" series do not seem convincing to me. The religious type of knowledge is **NOT SUITABLE** for embracing the Whole. In order to be absolutely sure, in addition to general considerations, I analyze one specific religion in these two articles. For this purpose, one could take Islam, Buddhism, Judaism and any other religion. But I took Christianity because modern civilization grew out of it. It echoes Islam and Judaism. Its consideration included a **DIRECT CONSIDERATION** of Judaism and an **INDIRECT CONSIDERATION** of Islam. The considerations expressed are enough to put a **BOLD CROSS** on the religious direction. But nevertheless, I proposed Christianity for consideration, on which I tried to show the **FUTILITY** of **RELIGION**. I recommend paying close attention to this information – it gives the key to understanding and comprehending **MANY MODERN** moments. Our modern civilization did not grow out of nothing, but out of **CHRISTIAN CIVILIZATION**, so I want to complete my reflections on Jesus Christ, which I started in the previous article.


It is not possible to find any acceptable theory on the question to whom Christ was sacrificed. But you can't leave it hanging in the air either... This is a threat to the Church, and therefore to the empire (read today's state). So, the answer must be given at all costs. The desperate Soborians tried to look for an answer from the position: if God is a Trinity, can one Person of the Trinity sacrifice himself to the other two (as the Western Church taught)? Maybe God-Christ sacrificed himself to God the Father and God the Holy Spirit? But no, his doesn't work. Such a theory destroys the indivisibility and identity of all Persons of the Trinity, and the Trinity itself. They played on this cathedral both this way and that, but still the absurdity could not be avoided. It remained to resort to the **PROVEN TECHNOLOGY** — the help of the Holy Spirit. That's what he will reveal, then declare the truth, not subject to discussion. Because this mystery is great and incomprehensible to the human mind. That was settled. The Holy Spirit **DID NOT FORSAKE** the conciliators by his mercy and revealed that Christ was sacrificed to the whole Trinity.

The formal answer at the Council of Constantinople in 1157 was this: ***Christ sacrificed himself to himself, as well as to God the Father and God the Holy Spirit.*** And at the same time, it was tacitly stated that it was **NOT USEFUL** for the soul to think **TOO DEEPLY** about this topic and ask questions about it. It was prescribed **NOT TO HAVE** such questions in your head at all. The most useful thing for the salvation of the soul is to simply believe in the formulation given by the Holy Spirit. And period. This is how the technology known today as "orthodoxy" emerged. Its principle: nothing needs to be understood. We need to believe what the Church says. And if she says one thing today and the opposite tomorrow, you need to accept and believe. The ways of the Lord are inscrutable. And how can a person know through what trials he is led to truth and salvation (when uttering this formula, a pious Christian looks up).

The Church declares all the questions that are dangerous to her, **INCOMPREHENSIBLE** to the **HUMAN MIND**, and advises to accept this as a fact. For a good believer armed with such technology, everything is simple: if he sees the absurdity in the words of the Church, he immediately understands that there is a temptation from Satan. Remembering his lack of intelligence and doing prayer, the believer begins to drive away dangerous thoughts from himself. So having overcome the temptation to think, he calms down, thankful that he is **NOT PROUD**, like Lucifer, the angel of light. He has not tasted knowledge like Adam and Eve. In general, a good Christian discovers a lot of benefits for himself in **NOT THINKING**. The Church teaches: believe in what is declared to be the holy truth, and you will save your soul. The more you are deaf to the voice of reason, the more you will please God. The fewer thoughts there are, the less chance Satan has of tempting you, and the more you are protected from heresy. Have a child's faith and do not pay attention to common sense — it is from the enemy of the human race. For it is said: "... unless you turn and become like children, you will not enter the Kingdom of Heaven" (Matthew 18:3). Let me remind you that **HERESY IN GREEK** means "choice", "opinion". Good believers should not have their own opinions. The highest virtue of a Christian is complete obedience. The apotheosis of this statement was formulated by the **FATHER of PROTESTANTISM**, Martin Luther: disobedience is a greater sin than murder, adultery, theft and dishonesty. The Jesuits will go even further: they will declare obedience not "to death", but "to sin". The point of the idea is that dying for Christ is easy. But to sin for Christ, to kill or deceive — such self-denial is much higher. The Jesuits spied and killed not for themselves, but for the greater glory of God. The head of the order, Ignatius Loyola, claimed that "complete obedience is blind, and this blindness is the wisdom and perfection of man." The Church and the State, as a management structure, need **NOT THINKING**, but believing people. Freedom should not go beyond the flags of dogmas. You can argue as much as you like about how to properly light a candle, what to eat in a fast, what is democracy and whether elections are needed, etc., but **GOD FORBID** to ask questions about how true this or that dogma is. The topic of the Trinity, the nature of Christ, the question of the addressee of the sacrifice of Christ in the theological environment, it is customary to chatter, pour verbosity and emotions, operate with stamps, **WITHOUT DELVING** into the meaning. Because it is impossible to give any explanation: neither logical nor fantastic.



Whoever declares a square to be round and pentagonal, then he is obliged to say that this mystery is great, and it is impossible for any person to understand it. One can only believe in the truth of this strange information. Well, what can I say to believers ... Well, believe me...



"The first and simplest stage of discipline that even children can learn is called self-stop in Newspeak<sup>4</sup>. This means, as it were, an instinctive ability to stop at the threshold of a dangerous thought. This includes the ability **NOT TO SEE** analogies, **NOT TO NOTICE** logical errors, to misinterpret even the simplest argument if it is hostile to the *ingsoc*<sup>5</sup>, to experience boredom and disgust from the train of thought that can lead to heresy. In short, self-stop means saving stupidity" (Orwell. "1984").

**NONE** of the apostles **CLAIMED** to be a prophet whose hand is guided by God. No one even hinted that the information he presented comes from God, and therefore it is **HIGHER IN STATUS THAN** *the Jewish Law — the Torah*. And not out of fear of stones. The apostles were **NOT AFRAID** of death and said what they considered necessary. They did not declare their words to be divine truth, not because they were afraid of punishment, but because they were fanatical Jews who were ready to die for their faith. If they were not fanatics, they would not have abandoned their home, business, family, and would not have followed the teacher. If someone had suggested to the apostles to put their records above the status of the Torah (Law), they would have considered such a proposal blasphemy. Apostolic records were elevated to the status of divine information and made above the Law, **NOT** by the **APOSTLES**, but by politicians who created ***the state ideology of the Roman Empire with the hands of the Church***. At first, the Ministry of Christian Affairs was embarrassed, making various reservations. But when enough time had passed, the Church stopped being embarrassed and got so into the taste that **SHE** began to **FORGE** the records of the apostles **HERSELF**. The most famous postscript is made to the words of the Apostle John (Johannine Comma). In the original words of the apostle: "For three bear witness in heaven, and these three are one. And three bear witness on earth: spirit, water, and blood; and these three are of one" (1 John 5:7-8). After artistic processing, the apostolic words acquire a new sound: "For three bear witness in heaven: the Father, the Word, and the Holy Spirit; and these three are one. And three bear witness on earth: spirit, water, and blood; and these three are one" (1 John 5:7-8).

---

<sup>4</sup> **Newspeak** — a fictional language from George Orwell's dystopian novel 1984. In the novel, Newspeak is the language of a totalitarian society, disfigured by party ideology and party bureaucratic lexical turns.

<sup>5</sup> **Ingsoc** — the social system in Oceania in George Orwell's novel "1984". In the novel, the Party adhering to the ideas of Ingsoc completely controls Oceania.

Further, relying on the Johannine Comma, to the words of the Apostle Matthew, "Go therefore and teach all nations, baptizing them," the good state Christians in their piety, without malice in their hearts, added: "in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit" (Matthew 28:19). When the Church was **CAUGHT CHEATING** by pointing to ancient texts without these words, court theologians gave birth to a version that this was ... a mistake in ancient books. Like, during the persecution of the doctrine of the Trinity, heretics cleaned up ancient books, removing the main words. But the Church, with the help of the Holy Spirit, restored the truth.

This version failed with a bang: there is not a single mention of these words in the writings of the early defenders of the Trinity. The fathers of the Church, who held the opinion that the nature of God is threefold, indicate a total of about three hundred references in Scripture, proving, in their opinion, this fact. But none of them has a reference to the words of John and Matthew. It turned out that the fathers of the Church did not notice the elephant in the flock of sheep. There was nothing to cover. The theologians sat in a puddle. And since then, they have simply been silent on this topic. The silence of a pastor caught cheating is eloquent and unsightly. The strategy is clear: ordinary people whose faith is reduced to rituals **WILL NOT** delve into this. And not simple... how many are there? So, let's be quiet... we'll be healthier. And **THEY DID NOT REMOVE** the written words, as everyone can see by opening the Bible.

There are a lot of direct prohibitions in the Bible against worshipping any objects other than God. There are prohibitions in the Old Testament: "*Do not make yourself an idol or any image of what is in heaven above...*" (Exodus 20:4). "*Keep firmly in your souls that you did not see any image on the day when the Lord spoke to you on Mount Horeb from among the fire, so that you have not corrupted yourselves and have not made for yourselves statues, images of any idol representing a man or a woman*" (Deut. 4, 15-16). The prophets also write: "... *let us no longer say to the product of our hands: our gods*" (Hos. 14, 4). There are prohibitions in the New Testament: the Apostle Paul **CALLS INSANE** those who "... *have changed the glory of the incorruptible God into an image like a corruptible man*" (Rom. 1, 23). But then why does the Church allow the image of God if it is blasphemy and forbidden insanity? The answer is in the political demands of Rome. In some parts of history, icons were introduced to distance themselves from Islam. Others were cleaned to combat pagan tendencies among the people. The input/output of icons was always declared to be an initiative coming from God. To substantiate the **GODLINESS OF ICONS**, a theory has been created according to which Christian's worship **NOT** the **ICON**, but the image depicted on it. But the practice of "miraculous icons" conflicts with the theory. If two icons have the same image, but at the same time believers consider it more effective to turn to the miraculous, the value is **NOT** the **IMAGE** (it is the same), that is valuable, but the board on which it is depicted. There is nothing left of the theory of image worship, except a good mine at a bad game. Recognition of the divine utility of icons resulted, as ancient analysts assumed, in the worship of **NOT ONLY** images similar to corruptible man, but also of mere things. When you don't think about it, you don't notice the oddities. But when you start to thinking, it's a shock.

In 2013, tens of thousands of believers lined up in Moscow to bow to frankincense (fragrant resin), myrrh (ointment for anointing the dead) and gold. If the magi had given Christ a hat, boots and an iron, they would have worshipped them, as they now worship parts of clothing (for example, the belt of the dress of the mother of Christ, nails, slippers). Do not laugh about the slippers, you will find in a search engine "slippers of Spiridon Trimifuntsky" and be surprised. It is not



enough to worship objects, so these objects are still of dubious origin. Hundreds of monasteries and churches keep nails with which, as they claim, Christ was nailed to the cross. There were **ONLY FOUR** nails, but if you collect all the nails stored in Christian monasteries and churches, there will be hundreds of them. In addition to the miraculously multiplied nails, temples and monasteries keep hands, feet, heads and other parts of the bodies of saints in such quantities that it turns out that most of these people were freaks — many-headed, many-armed and many-legged. N. Levashov wrote about this well in his articles. L. Lalande's book "*Curiosities of Traditions*"<sup>7</sup> contains a list of monasteries in Western Europe where body parts of various saints are kept. When calculating, it turned out that St. Julian has 20 torsos and 26 heads. The Apostle Luke has 9 heads. Saint Philip has 18 heads and 12 arms. Saint Sebastian has 5 torsos, 6 heads, 17 arms and legs. John the Baptist left the Church with 12 heads, 7 jaws, 4 shoulders, 9 arms and 11 fingers. The West is ahead of the whole planet in this matter. But the Orthodox **ARE NOT FAR BEHIND**. The first thing that comes to mind: in Moscow, in the Epiphany Cathedral, one head of John Chrysostom is kept. On Mount Athos in the Panteleimon Monastery is the second head of John Chrysostom. It's worth thinking about: how is such a "miracle" possible... No sources mention that John was two-headed. There is a monastery in Syria (probably during the ongoing military operations there, it was more correct to say, although I don't know) where a child's head is kept... John the Baptist. King Herod executed John as an adult. When you ask how this is possible, the monks answer: this is a **MIRACLE OF GOD**, and you need to believe in it, **NOT REASON**. Can you believe in such miracles of God? I agree with your opinion. Evolution in this direction has acquired caricature forms. For example, there are **EMPTY BOXES** that store "the last exhalation of Christ."

---

<sup>7</sup> *Joseph Jerome Lefrancois de Lalande* was a French astronomer, freemason and writer.



There are feathers that fell from the wings of the archangel Gabriel, a mouthpiece from the Jericho trumpet, a straw from the manger in which the infant Christ lay, dishes and leftovers from the last supper of Christ, Paul's foreskin, bones from fish that Christ fed thousands of people, crumbs of heavenly manna. The deification of slippers, bones, fingers and other "holy objects" was done with a single purpose - to attract the tourist flow. Pious pilgrims also carry gold, as well as impious tourists. The difference is in the objects of worship. Some worship restaurants and shops where they leave money, others worship relics, which, according to tradition, involves a sacrifice to the temple. Such is the business for the glory of God. "In Rumyantsev's house there was, for example, the apartment of the wanderers. The heftiest, swollen from

drunkenness, fellows with shaggy beards; greasy hair on their shoulders lie, they have never seen a comb or soap. These are monks of unprecedented monasteries, pilgrims who have been walking from Khitrovka to the church porch or to the Zamoskvoretsky merchants and back for centuries. After a drunken night, such an enviable uncle gets out from under the bunk, asks for a **GLASS** of **BOOZE** on credit from the tenant, puts on a pilgrim's cassock, a satchel stuffed with rags on his shoulders, a skullcap on his head, and barefoot, sometimes even in winter in the snow, to prove his holiness, walks behind the collection. And such a "wanderer" will **NOT LIE** to dark merchants, what will he not hand over to them to save their souls! Here is a chip from the Holy sepulchre, and a piece of the ladder that the forefather Jacob saw in a dream, and a check from the chariot of Elijah the prophet that fell from the sky."<sup>8</sup> The dark merchants, and with them all Christians, believe that from the list of entities left after Christ, the apostles and saints, the blood of Christ has the **HIGHEST POWER**. According to legend, Joseph of Arimathea collected it in a vessel made of a special stone, called the "Grail Cup". Whoever possesses the Cup is invincible and immortal. The logic of the statement is clear: if the things that Christ only touched received miraculous power, then the entities that came directly from the divine body have even greater power. If we go in this direction and **DO NOT FORGET** that Christ had a human nature, with all its physiological features, we can come to the conclusion that **ANY** of HIS **PARTS**, members and organs, any substances inside the divine body should have divine power.

---

<sup>8</sup> *Vladimir Gilyarovsky*, "Moscow and Muscovites"




Reasoning in this direction, one can come to the conclusion that it is not only the heart and blood can be the object of worship... Any substances that have come out of the human body have no value and are drained into the sewer. Any substances from the divine organism are "without (with) value" by their nature. There is as much difference between them as there is between your slippers and the saint. In the Middle Ages, the Jesuit Order **DECLARED THE CULT OF THE HEART** of Christ. After it, other organs of Christ began to be worshiped. The tendency of believers to self-abasement has

emerged. In an impulse to show their insignificance before the greatness of Christ, people could **NOT ONLY** go as far as worshipping the most diverse members of the body of Christ, but also the substances emanating from his body. The Church stopped this trend, calling it heresy. Why heresy? Is the worship of the nails with which Christ was nailed better than the worship of the foot? Why is it possible to worship the heart, but, for example, the liver is not allowed? The Church was not going to answer such questions. There were no rational answers. If human sincerity, combined with human stupidity, **WERE NOT** limited, many interesting cults were guaranteed to arise, worshipping not only the most diverse parts of the body of Christ and the Virgin, but also all kinds of human secretions. Because in the divine organism everything is divine. The conclusion suggests itself. If you cite all the absurdities and contradictions for which there are no absurd explanations or far-fetched ones, it will not fit into a separate volume of a separate book. So, if anyone is interested in the topic, you will find information on the web in great abundance. Just consider all points of view, **NOT JUST** the opinion of believers. And don't fall for the manipulative techniques that theologians use. If you see the phrases: ***"this is obvious to any sane person ..."; "with careful reading it is clear ..."; "only the blind cannot see this ..."; "if you are a smart person, then you will agree", you know — this is a sign of manipulation.*** It can be seen from the fact that the manipulators are not even **TRYING** to **HIDE** it. Of course, this also applies to many aspects of our knowledge today. Because the new model, built with a focus on the old values, in fact, copied and copies the old. Only everything is named differently. If earlier the political elite were called **SERVANTS OF GOD**, now they are called **SERVANTS OF THE PEOPLE**. If the people used to be called subjects (under tribute), now they are citizens, from whom tribute was also collected. But if you look not at the words, but at the essence, the old essence was packed into new forms. ***"When you see the inscription giraffe on the enclosure with an elephant, don't believe your eyes"*** (Kozma Prutkov).

At the initial stages, this was not so obvious, but as the situation stabilizes and the transformation process develops, within the framework of the new program, it will hurt the eye. In the meantime, society is in an intermediate state — one epoch has ended, and the second has not begun, due to the residual phenomena of the creation of the brain genotype program. In order for it to begin, A **NEW WORLDVIEW IS NEEDED**. Without it, society cannot find the main goal, common to all, as it was in the religious era. Water could not become a river. She could only turn into a standing, blooming swamp. The **EMOTIONAL EXCITEMENT** that has come after the liberation of society from the dogmas of religion plays the role of a screen covering the problem. People proclaim the cult of reason and worship it. But it becomes obvious that the attempts of science to occupy a sacred place have **NOT** been **CROWNED** with success. The vertex is still empty. Society is still flowing an inertial course. The technology, once created by the Roman Empire, was liked by Christian rulers. With its help, it was unmistakably determined which decision of the Church was divine and which was from the unclean spirit. The court theologians proved everything that the authorities needed. And in order **NOT** to **TURN** the situation into a circus, the Church has given birth to an unspoken rule: ***the truth is what the authorities have recognized as the truth***. If the authorities change their minds, the Church tightens the understanding of the truth in accordance with the guidelines of the leadership. Today, the function of truth is performed by patriotism. Previously, all the troubles were explained by the actions of sorcerers and witches, who only dream of destroying the human race. Now all failures are explained by the Jewish masons, the secret world government and others. This is the nature of society — it needs a lightning rod. What will play its role is all the same.

This is observed today in full in our reality. Reality, built by a new Control system in a different target format with different modified capabilities of a person who is aware of the entire changed surrounding objective reality at a different level. In such a new management process, there simply cannot be a place for old dogmas that are **NOT RELATED** to the truth, moreover, their perception as dogmas and their awareness by the brain **WILL NOT** be technologically **POSSIBLE**, which means that a person will be completely indifferent to them.

A visit to such religious temple structures, with all the organized demonstration of traditional rituals and services, will be perceived as a historical **ETHNO-CULTURAL PERFORMANCE**, which is now quite a lot in other thematic areas. People have the right to choose independently according to their interests - to go to church to see the performance of an ancient cult rite, to watch a mock battle on Kulikovo Field or to attend a performance of the Berezka ensemble on the staging of Russian folk dances.



But all this **WILL HAVE NOTHING** to do with the true faith at all. The entire former religious sphere will be used only to perform the functions of guardianship for those individuals who, according to their brain genotype, **WILL NOT** be able to integrate into the changed control state. They will **NOT RECEIVE** an increase in their brain octave and the corresponding changed functions of its work, respectively, they will not be able to receive control and cognitive information and respond adequately to it, i.e., take part in the executive processes of the new program state. For them, all the changed living conditions will **CAUSE DIFFICULTIES** in a fully functional existence, they will not be able to take an active part in a single social new structure, they will have the former immanent state, in which the religious sphere will take a vital decision for them, according to its endowment, for the entire period of their biological survival. They will need the same level of religious dogmas, and only they will be able to keep their unchanged brain level in a functioning limited state, keeping the state of faith in its former functions and providing the automotor functions of biological survival. Such individuals **WILL NOT BE ABLE** to procreate, for this reason, such a function of the former religious sphere is doomed to be only temporary. The technological support and support of this new process of faith is already being brought into an active state and will manifest itself in full from the moment of the completed change of the brain octaves. Within the framework of possible reasoning today, without knowledge and understanding, there is **NO FAITH**. Without Faith, knowledge **CANNOT** be embodied by its further outflow. It is becoming increasingly obvious to us living in the 21st century that it is hardly worth hoping that someone will come and make our particular life "better and more fun". But it is very important to develop managerial skills, and most importantly — the skills of practical self-management of one's own life. In addition, what is happening today dictates the need **NOT ONLY** to regularly learn new things, but also the need to master the methodology of cognition and develop the *ability to "learn to learn"* ...

***F. Shkrudnev***

***11. 07. 2023***

