

## Salvation of the Saviors

17\_series 369

### Transfer-transit... what, where, and why?

*Don't be sad, " Alice said. - Sooner or later  
everything will become clear.  
Everything will fall into place  
and line up in a single beautiful scheme, like lace.  
It will become clear why everything is needed,  
because everything will be right ...*

"Just because you're not interested in politics doesn't mean politics isn't interested in you." This quote, which for some reason they like to attribute to Bismarck, actually belongs to another — the Athenian Pericles. Bismarck paraphrased it as follows: **"If you are not engaged in politics, then politics will take care of you."** Therefore, as promised, about politics. About the politics of today, and where it will lead us if we do **NOT REALIZE** the reality of what is happening.

It is not difficult to understand: **no elected government can work the way the theory prescribes**. In fact, this is a utopia from the series "if we assume that all people are good and honest, you can build some kind of social structure out of them". But no matter how good it is in theory, **it will not work in practice**, because, to put it mildly — **not all people are good and honest**. There is no point in wasting time on creating another utopia. Models with other mechanisms of power formation are somewhat better, **but also contain unacceptable vices**. A dictatorship or a monarchy may or may not lead to **some improvements** in the short term. But in the long run, as they say, **"horseradish are not sweeter than radishes"**. And it becomes **MORE THAN DANGEROUS**. To protect yourself from danger, first of all you need to understand its nature. If a wall of water is coming, you need to build floating platforms. If a wall of fire is coming at you, you need to dig into the ground. If there is a danger of plague, it is necessary to use medicine. Each type of danger has its own protection. In order to reliably defend and resist, the first thing you need **REALIZE YOUR IGNORANCE**. **This is the first serious knowledge from which you can start**. As long as people think they know everything, they don't have a chance to start an action. A lot of people, almost everyone today, assessing what is happening, are like a person with his nose in the ground. He sees only insects. To see the grass, **YOU NEED TO CLIMB HIGHER**. To see the trees and animals, you need to stand up. The direction of the river can be seen from a bird's eye view... This will allow us to understand some basic things that allow us to build our own; different from many, understanding of what is happening around us — especially when **WE DO NOT FIND** explanations for this and what all this can lead to. Those who have learned the works of **N. Morozov<sup>1</sup>** already understand perfectly well that there is no **Is(s)toria**.

There is an interpretation of the available information, a cocktail of fakes and real events. Through logical stretch, these "facts" were combined into a fragile structure. The history of any state, any church, dynasty, as well as attempts to link all this into a single world history, is a set of political fables for the sake of a specific moment. **There are no facts**. Any global decision is always made behind closed doors. The true motives are **ALWAYS HIDDEN** and not obvious. The reasons of the tenth order are given out as the main ones, and the main ones remain an inaccessible secret. Naturally, there is a sequence of real facts that formed the story. But we have no idea about them. We know only what we are asked to consider as real facts and the history derived from them. This is what allows us to say: there are no facts. The world will never know what really guided the historical figure and the team behind him when making a decision. Yesterday's and today's key figures had and will have every conceivable reason to hide the true motivation of their decisions in order to increase their legitimacy.

After making key decisions, justifying mechanisms come into play. Press secretaries and image makers adjust the decision in accordance with the situation and norms. The real motive is replaced by a legend and strengthened by "facts". The "cogs" of the state machine write these documents. The ministries exchange memoranda and notes, declare protests and so on. In parallel, there is a leak of information, which is overlaid with fakes, provocations, etc. In general, **IT MAKES NO SENSE** to list the tools by which fakes are first created, on the basis of which "real" facts arise, and from them the house of cards of history is formed.



Information shapes the elite. The elite organizes society. Society makes the era. Shortening the chain, we get: **the creator of information forms an epoch**. Whoever creates the main information on which our consciousness and subconsciousness grow, sets the main direction for the world. And today this is the key position of those who believe (and deliberately conceal what is really happening) that they will achieve the goal they "conceived", without taking into account the fact that the attitude to information determines the source of information.

For many, the reason to turn away from the truth is the contradiction of the generally accepted interpretation of the event. Agree, few people will double-check the arguments of this or that scientist or politician, at least because it is unrealistic because of the huge amount of work. Most people always choose the well-trodden path, **WITHOUT THINKING** about where it leads. This is **NOT BAD** and **NOT GOOD**, it is the same given as the statements: the water is wet, the darkness is dark, the mass is blind.

At the beginning of 2020, there were talks ***about the transfer of power in 2024 or solving this issue in some other, "legitimate" way*** under the guise that the previously announced transfer of power even to a proven successor from among the regime's associates and functionaries is an unacceptable risk to national security. And conversations began about the beginning of the "transit, transfer of power" at almost **ALL LEVELS** of the current government with their own speculations, arguments and assumptions, which passed to the media and the Internet, flooding the already crowded field of all kinds of political speculation, mainly created and initiated by the current government itself. But few of the initiators of this process understand the essence of the transfer of power, its historical examples and what such actions led to, however, from the point of view of the so-called political processes, and not the true ones, **WHICH** were **COVERED UP** by this. Everything is being done so that these launched processes pursuing specific goals, conceived by specific people who consider themselves the "ruling elite", are perceived by everyone else — a natural evidence that is beyond doubt. But when looking at all this on an appropriate scale and, in my opinion, with an understanding of what is happening, I can state: ***evidence is the main obstacle on the way to the truth***. It forbids asking questions, because why, **IF EVERYTHING IS OBVIOUS**. When there is a question, there is a chance to find an answer. When there is no question, because everything is clear, there is no chance to find the answer. If a person had no questions, he would have fallen to the level of an animal (he has no questions). ***A person remains himself through questions***. They encourage him to look for answers. This is the driving force of development. But this is **NOT CLEAR TO THOSE** who, through the so-called "transfer of power" conceived by them, are trying to keep the power itself, which is like water leaving their hands.



Time flies inexorably fast. **On 26 November, 2020, Alexander Ivanovich Yuryev**, the founder of the Russian school of political psychology, my friend and like-minded person, with whom we did a lot during our acquaintance in 1991, passed away. As a sign of the memory of the great scientist, thinker and citizen, in gratitude for his support, for the information left to me on many issues that is relevant and in demand now for orientation in what is happening today, I decided to present **ONE OF** his **LAST WORKS**, which was given by him to specific people (I wrote about them above) who make decisions. This material, which did not find understanding among them, was published on several special scientific websites for a narrow circle of specialists — **an analytical report "Why is the new trend in Russian politics called the "TRANSFER OF POWER "2020-2024"?"**. This is one of a large set of ways to hide information (with its formal publicity) from most people.

In order to take the reader beyond the boundaries of the usual ideas, I recommend reading carefully what is in front of you, and this will allow you to more objectively assess today's speeches of politicians "about the threat to all of us" and about the internal, main threat to the country — "the decomposition of society within the country". To understand who, where and how, and what is doing the corrupting... and what is today an internal threat to the country.

I am publishing the information of A. I. Yuryev in as much detail as possible and will supplement this information with comments that allow a deeper understanding of what is stated. Due to the rather voluminous, but easily readable and perceived information, richness of examples and conclusions, I consider it advisable to divide it into two parts. This will allow readers to find reasonable answers to questions that worry many today.

\* \* \*

## **What is the "Transfer-transit" of power?**

**A) "Transfer of power"**, or "transit of power" — now often used and meaningful terms, denote the method of significant changes in state policy in the country in the next four years. But if this is a "transfer of power", then, as history shows, its results are **POORLY PREDICTABLE**, and often turn out to be completely different from what the authors assumed. And should the adaptation of the public administration system to the new political reality be called a transfer of power?

This is especially relevant because there is no consensus among experts on the legitimacy of the concept of "transfer". Opinions vary widely:

- "the new political cycle puts the topic of the transfer of power at the top of its agenda";

- "the transit of power is already underway";
- "Transit is outright stupidity and sabotage."
- "Transit is inevitable, it is being filled with personnel;
- "the transit of power in Russia depends on how the "transit of power" in the US goes";
- "political crisis in the "transfer of 2021-2024";
- "creeping revolution and the dismantling of the social state";
- "about the "transit of power" as "Perestroika-2";
- "Transit scenarios: inertial, sudden and transformational.

**B) *The reason*** for the appearance of the words "transfer-transit of power" in political circulation was the upcoming presidential elections of the Russian Federation in 2024. Indeed, the Federation Council of the Federal Assembly of the Russian Federation must decide on the appointment of elections no earlier than 100 days and no later than 90 days before the voting day. According to the amendments to the Constitution, the term of office of the elected president has increased from four to six years since 2012. According to paragraph 3 of Article 81 of the Constitution of the Russian Federation, the same person **MAY NOT** hold the office of President of the Russian Federation **FOR MORE THAN TWO CONSECUTIVE TERMS**. This means that Vladimir Putin, who was elected president in 2012 and re-elected in 2018, will not be able to participate in the presidential elections of 2024. His term of office expires on 7 May, 2024.

In order not to **HARBOR ANY ILLUSIONS** about the "transfer-transit of power", it is necessary to understand what it implies? In order not to "reinvent the wheel" and not "step on the same rake", it is useful to recall the historical lessons of power transfers in Russia and think about what price the country and the people will pay for its implementation?

**C) *The alleged reason*** for the appearance of the words "transfer-transit of power" in political circulation was "the lack of control of Russia". The end of 2019 in the Russian Federation was characterized by a clear awareness of the "unmanageability" of Russia, which was clear from the scale of non-compliance with the rule of law in the country. Acute discontent among the people is caused by the flourishing of corruption, theft, non-performance of official duties, impunity for crimes, the incredible enrichment of the elite against the background of the impoverishment of tens of millions of Russian citizens.

The concept of "mismanagement" was introduced by D. I. Mendeleev, who at one time was concerned about the state of Russia. And the facts show that by 1917 most of Russia was practically "out of control".

**Population, Gross National Product (GNP), and number of officials in the Russian Empire, France, Great Britain, Germany, and Austria-Hungary around 1910.**

<b>Indicators</b>	<b>France</b>	<b>United kingdom</b>	<b>Germany</b>	<b>Austria-Hungary</b>	<b>Russia</b>
No. of Officials, tho.	284	336	398	228	253
Population, mil.	38,8	40,8	64,9	50	174,4
GNP, \$ bil.	34	53,1	62,2	36,4	69,4
GNP supr. n., \$.	883	1302	958	728	398
No. of Officials per \$1 billion. GNC	8,3	6,3	6,4	6,3	3,6
Index, Russia = 1	2,3	1,8	1,8	1,8	1

By 2020, the opinion that the organization of state power, formed in Russia in 1993, **had completely exhausted its potential under the new political and technological reality**, i.e. — that is, the country was undergoverned. At the same time, all countries of the world, not only Russia, as if on command from some supranational center of power, joined the race to adapt their public administration to the new reality. I hope that readers understand that this is a direct consequence of the processes launched since 2012 within the framework of the new CS. There is a threat that only those countries whose power system will be adequate to the new technological, economic, and political reality will retain their sovereignty. However, reformatting the state administration is a more complex scientific and technological task than creating a space rocket. In 2020, when the request for changes in the country became universal, it was suddenly called a "transfer of power", **WITHOUT** going into **TOO MUCH** detail about what this means. Of course, **politics could not be humiliated to the level of football, where a transfer is called a temporary "window" for updating teams**. In politics, a transfer of this type can cause tectonic upheavals in the country, which must be avoided in the process of reformatting public administration. The history of Russia warns of such a danger, in which there are examples of sliding from the "reformatting" of power into a "transfer of power", and which cost the country very dearly. The **TRANSFER**, as experience shows, is **UNPREDICTABLE** and often does not happen at all as it was planned. From century to century, the designers of the transit authorities make the same mistakes, believing that **everything will be as they intended**. Therefore, it is useful to remember past mistakes in order to avoid unjustified sacrifices. We have some examples. And we should not forget that those people who organize such things are devoid of reasonable thinking and are only capable of "dragging" everything they do today from the past.

***It was not necessary to call the reformatting of power under the new political reality a transfer. And here's why!***

## **HISTORICAL PART**

***Examples of the transfer of power: it is always unexpected, sudden, unacceptable, unusual.***

**1. *Transfer "Rurikovich — Romanov"*.** After the death of Tsarevich Dmitry Ioannovich in Uglich in 1591 — the son of Ivan the Terrible, and then the death of Tsar Fyodor Ioannovich in 1598, Russia was involved in the transfer of power: the ruling Rurik dynasty ended. From 1584 until the Zemsky Sobor of 1613, it was unclear who would rule the country?

- ***The price of transfer for the country.*** The transit consisted of a succession of reigns of Boris Godunov, the impostor False Dmitry I; Vasily Shuisky; False Dmitry II; and the Seven Boers. Transit lasted until 21 February (3 March), 1613 the Zemsky Sobor elected Mikhail Fedorovich Romanov, a representative of a new dynasty of Russian tsars, the Romanovs, to the throne. Smolensk, eastern Karelia, access to the Gulf of Finland, etc. were lost during the transit.
- ***The price of transit for the people.*** The time of troubles — this is how the time of the transfer "Rurikovich — Romanov" from 1598 to 1613 remained in the memory of the people. The Russian-Polish war, the Russian-Swedish war, and the Semiboyarschina are here, and the Bolotnikov uprising, and False Dmitry I and II. The transfer is an unprecedented economic decline with huge victims of the population. The size of arable land has been reduced by 20 times; the number of peasants has quadrupled. The transfer is the time of the Great Famine from 1601 to 1603: at least 127 thousand people died in two years, cholera epidemics began. It came to cases of cannibalism, starving people ate manure.

**2. *The Miloslavsky - Naryshkin transfer.*** Happened after the death of Tsar Alexei Mikhailovich in 1676 and lasted until 27 April, 1682. The transfer was accompanied by Streltsy riots (1682), the seizure of power by Tsarevna Sophia. After the great upheavals, power in Russia passed from Sophia (Miloslavsky) to Peter (Naryshkins).

- ***The price of the transfer for the country.*** The renaming of the Moscow kingdom into the Russian Empire with Peter I taking the title of Emperor of All Russia and Father of the Fatherland (1721). The capital was moved from Moscow to St. Petersburg. The country became unrecognizably different. The transition of Russia under German control in science, culture, education, administration, army command.
- ***The price of the transfer of power for the people.*** Reinforced sets of recruits and workers, who then died in large numbers in battles or from diseases on ships and on the way to the army. The enormous mortality of workers gathered for the construction of St. Petersburg, Kronstadt and the Ladoga Canal due to poor sanitary conditions.

Peter I, having increased taxes on the peasantry three times, drained it of blood and deprived it of all supplies, so the losses from hunger were great. During the reforms of Peter I, almost every fifth inhabitant of Russia died in the war or died of hunger and disease.

**3. Transfer "Romanov — Ulyanovsk".** Today, the most famous is the strategic transfer of power in 1917 from Nicholas II (Romanov) — to Lenin (Ulyanovsk) - to Stalin. In April 1918, the anarchist party was defeated, in July 1918, the Bolsheviks broke off relations with the left Social Revolutionaries. In February 1921, the arrests of Mensheviks began, and in 1922, the trial of the leaders of the Left SR party began.

- **The transfer price for the country.** On 25 October, 1917, the Russian Empire was reformatted into the Russian Soviet Republic (from 19 July, 1918); then on 19 July, 1918, into the Russian Soviet Socialist Republic; with the adoption of the USSR Constitution of 1936 and the Constitution of the RSFSR of 1937, into the "Russian Soviet Federate Socialist Republic". Russia's losses as a result of four years of the First World War and three years of the civil war amounted to more than 40 billion gold rubles, which exceeded 25% of the entire pre-war wealth of the country. The departure of the Germans from the management of Russia, its science, culture, production, and army.
- **The price of the transfer for the people.** The war for bread is not for life, but for death. More than 20 million people died and became disabled. Industrial production in 1920 decreased, in comparison with 1913, by five times. On 1 November, 1920, there were about two million emigrants from the territory of the former Russian Empire in Europe. In Poland — one million, in Germany — 560 thousand, in France — 175 thousand, in Manchuria — more than 100 thousand, in Austria and Constantinople — 50 thousand, in Italy and Serbia — 20 thousand. In November, another 150 thousand people pulled up from the Crimea.

**4. Transfer "Stalin — Khrushchev".** The next transfer of power occurred on 5 March, 1953, when power passed from Stalin to Malenkov, and then to Khrushchev. Under Stalin, the state security bodies did not obey the party apparatus — Khrushchev subordinated the Ministry of Internal Affairs to the party. Khrushchev forbade surveillance of party staff members, eavesdropping on them and taking any measures against party members without the consent of party committees.

- **The price of the transfer of the state.** A radical change in the country's political course. Exposing the cult of Stalin. Rejection of previous leaders, their domestic and foreign policies. Relations with the PRC and Yugoslavia were severed. The uprising in Hungary was suppressed. A course was taken for "coexistence" with capstans. Rejection of the image of an external enemy (USA, NATO, China).
- **The price of the transfer for the people.** The agricultural disaster of 1963. A sharp reduction in the number of livestock. Since 1963, the Soviet Union for the first time buys abroad 12 million tons of grain, then 25. There was a shortage of bread.



Dockers in Nikolaev refused to ship bread to Cuba. From June 1957 to April 1964, the law enforcement forces used military weapons 11 times (!) to disperse natural riots. The most famous is Novochoerkassk, where 23 workers were killed and 70 people were injured at the demonstration. The radical deterioration of life was masked by a temporary Thaw.

**5. The "Gorbachev - Yeltsin" transfer.** Happened in 1991-93, when power shifted from communists to liberals: from Gorbachev to Yeltsin. On 15 March, 1990 an Extraordinary Congress of People's Deputies of the USSR elected Mikhail Gorbachev as president; on 25 December, 1991, after the Belovezhskaya Agreement, he resigned. The election of the Presidents was in violation of the Constitution of the USSR.

- **The price of transfer for the country.** The USSR ceased to exist: 10 July, 1991 B. N. Yeltsin became President of the RSFSR, and on 25 December, 1991 he signed the law on renaming the RSFSR into the Russian Federation (RUSSIA). He carried out reforms: price liberalization, free market; land reform — transfer of land into private hands; privatization; political power reform. The main goal — the transition from socialism to a market economy in the shortest possible time by the method of "shock therapy". Prohibition of the CPSU, cessation of the construction of socialism, collapse of the Warsaw Pact, etc. The Belovezhskoye Agreement, according to Article 14, terminated the activities of all the Soviet bodies in the territory of all the republics.
- **The price of transfer for the people.** By 1993, Russia dropped from 25th to 68th place in the standard of living. From 1992 to 1998 the loss of population was 4.2 million people, and the able-bodied population decreased by 300,000 people annually. The intensity of population loss during the Yeltsin era was five times greater than during the Stalin era. From 1991 to 1999, at least three million citizens left Russia for foreign countries.

**Typical mistakes in preparing a transfer: it always "goes wrong" as what was intended.**

1. **The transfer** is — an underestimation of the moods, experiences, passions of the people.

The transfer of power in Russia in 1917 was predetermined in December 1904. Dismissal in an insulting manner of four workers by A. Tetjavkin, foreman of the woodworking shop of the carriage shop, became an occasion for a mass strike, the "Bloody Sunday" of 1905, the 1905 and 1917 revolutions, the murder of the Romanov family, the Civil War and terror. The administration of the plant demanded the reinstatement of the fired workers and the dismissal of Master Tetjavkin — it refused. In response, 12,500 workers went on strike on 3 January, 1905, and 125,000 on 8 January.



On the morning of 9 January, 150 thousand workers moved from different districts to the city center. The army used military weapons on the crowd of workers: swords, sabers, rifles. On 9 January up to 200 people were killed and up to 800 people were injured. The prestige of the tsarist government was irreparably damaged. This was used by 17 military revolutionary organizations operating in Russia and dreaming of the transit of power, which developed mass discontent with the "shooting on 9 January" in the conflagration of the Great Russian Revolution.

***Underestimation.*** The contempt of the authorities for the political feelings of the masses, their experiences, passions, moods is always the Achilles' heel of the transfer of power. Tactically, this contempt was used by that "monkey who was sitting on a tree".



## **2. *Transfer — calculation error for "manual organizations".***

George Gapon

Pop Gapon is a priest of the Russian Orthodox Church, a political figure and trade union leader, an outstanding speaker and preacher. At the same time, a police agent. The founder and permanent head of the working organization "Assembly of Russian factory workers of St. Petersburg". Gapon is the brainchild of the Police Department (Sergey Zubatov), supported by the St. Petersburg mayor Nikolai Kleigels. Gapon wrote reports to them, Metropolitan Anthony, Director of the Police Department Alexey Lopukhin, Deputy Minister Peter Durnovo, Mayor Ivan Fullon, etc. Gapon was "his own man". Witte was informed that Gapon was being controlled, and the ministers would cope with the situation without his participation. Because of this mistake, all of Witte's economic and foreign policy achievements turned out to be nothing compared to the result of the peaceful march of the workers. Witte had to correct this mistake, in particular, by organizing "execution" trains to pacify Russia, and by negotiating with Gapon himself, who turned out to be a "double agent " under the influence of the Soviet Revolutionaries.

## **3. *Transfer — an error in calculating the loyalty of those approached.***

Nicholas II was misled by **Witte**<sup>2</sup> and the Government, who considered the march of workers (150 thousand people!) an insignificant event. He left for Tsarskoye Selo, instructing the ministers to deal with those who went to him personally and carried his portraits and icons. However, the shooting of workers' columns and the cutting down of unarmed people by the cavalry, who believed him for the last time, were no longer a trifle. Undoubtedly, after that, the Tsar realized that he was sentenced: for eight years he did not appear in public until the already meaningless celebrations in honor of the tercentenary of the House of Romanov in 1913.

The Romanov house ended on 9 January, 1905 with the betrayal of personal guards and relatives, when the tsar and his family were arrested, not a single person in Russia and outside it came to his aid. They were arrested not by revolutionaries, but by personally educated generals: Alekseev, Kornilov. The Semenovskiy regiment, the Personal guard of the sovereign Emperor, immediately took an oath "to be loyal to the All-Russian State and to obey the Provisional Government currently heading it. "Even the Division of HIS IMPERIAL MAJESTY'S Own Convoy ... expressed a desire to comply with the requirements of the Provisional Government elected by the State Duma and chaired by M. V. Rodzianko...". All betrayed.

#### ***4. Transfer — the dangers of participating in it.***

**4.1 *The fate of Gapon.*** He had to pay for the "transit of power." Gapon, who was entrusted by the Social Revolutionaries to fan the flames of the revolution. After 9 January, he became an ally of Count Witte, a supporter of the reforms proclaimed by the Manifesto of 17 October, an opponent of armed methods of struggle. When he fell out of line with the Social Revolutionaries, who considered themselves a political figure of international standing, he was hanged by them on 26 March, 1906, in Ozerki, on the outskirts of Petersburg, by a group of militants on charges of collaboration with the authorities and betrayal of the revolution. Hardly had he self-confidently foreseen what his game on the border of "thin matter" and bloody revolution would lead to.



**4.2. *The fate of General Alekseev.*** Transfer of power cost General M. V. Alekseev dearly, who also considered himself a "creator of history".

A Russian commander, participant of the Russian-Turkish (1877—1878) and Russian-Japanese (1904—1905) wars, during the First World War — Chief of Staff of the armies of the Southwestern Front, Commander-in-Chief of the armies of the Northwestern Front, chief of Staff of the Supreme Commander (since August 1915) — all this meant nothing from the position of his loyalty to the Oath. Nicholas II absolutely trusted him. Alekseyev had breakfast and dined with the emperor as his guest of honor. He discussed the state of affairs on the fronts with the sovereign on equal terms, but secretly prepared his overthrow, and during the February Revolution (1917) he organized the abdication of Nicholas II from the throne. He misinformed the front commanders about the Tsar's abdication. It was he who arrested the former tsar according to the decree of the Provisional Government, but soon he barely escaped from the revolutionary soldiers. The officers dressed him in civilian clothes and sent him secretly to Rostov, from where he was expelled by Ataman Kaledin. Until his death, he, the key performer of the transfer, hid from people to whom he, without knowing it, opened the way to power, having achieved the abdication of the tsar.



**4.3. The fate of General Ruzsky.** An active participant in the transfer of power — General N. V. Ruzsky, believed that everything would remain as before and even better for him after the abdication of the tsar. His services to the transfer of power include the fact that it was he who did not miss the train with the tsar to the rebellious Petrograd, it was he who blocked the advance of front-line units to Petrograd to suppress the incipient revolution, it was he who physically forced Nicholas II to sign the Manifesto of Abdication. The sudden resignation for him was "a thunderbolt from a clear sky", and he went to rest and be treated in Pyatigorsk. But there came a "reckoning" for participation in the transfer of power: on 1 November, 1918, the chekist G. A. Atarbekov cut the throat of General Ruzsky. It is unlikely that the general assumed that those third, unknown people who "sat on a tree" would do this to him when he freed the throne for them, forcing Nicholas II to sign the abdication.

***Ensuring the transfer: fundamental nature, scientific character, continuity, consistency.***

Such political operations as the transit of power are the intellectual development of many professionals from different fields of science and from different countries. The so-called "interference" of other countries has provoked the transfer of power in Russia for more than a century (the Romanovs, Peter, Catherine II, Alexander I, Lenin). The motives for these interventions have long been known: firstly, it is the expansion of Europe's living space at the expense of Russia; secondly, it is free access to its cheap resources; thirdly, it is Russia's accession to its ideology, making it a military-political vassal of Europe. The motives of the Russian elites, who are striving for the transfer of power in the struggle for resources among themselves, are clear. But all modern politics is a continuous chain of events, in which each subsequent link is a continuation of the previous one. Therefore, the events of 2024 can be predictable. Yet who does it? When discussing the transfer of power, it is important to understand where the chain of preparation for the transfer begins, what links it consists of and how it ends today?


1. After the Second World War: ***Winston Churchill's speech in the USA, in the state of Missouri, in the town of Fulton on 5 March, 1946 in the small hall of Westminster College.*** This speech is being implemented till this day, and everything that follows is its development. Already on 14 March, Stalin said: "Mr. Churchill and his friends in England and the United States are ***presenting something like an ultimatum to non-English-speaking nations***: recognize our domination voluntarily, and then everything will be fine — otherwise war is inevitable."

2. In the language of our time, the thoughts of W. Churchill are set out in the book "Democracy" by Charles Tilly. He gives an example of the definition of "Freedom Ha/s", which includes real assessments of how citizens enjoy political rights and civil liberties. To recognize electoral democracy, Freedom Ha/s relies on procedural elements:

- ***competitive, multiparty political system;***
- ***regular holding of fiercely competitive elections;***
- ***significant access*** of the main political parties to voters through the mass media and through open political campaigning.

3. To study the implementation of the plans of W. Churchill, many scientific centers were created, the most famous of which is the Club of Rome. Its creation was due to the need to understand ***the theory and practice of managing the behavior of a person and large human masses***: the influence of the experience of the Great Russian Revolution of 1905-1917.





To launch the project, the President of the Club of Rome, Aurelio Peccei, wrote a basic book "Human Qualities", published in Russia. And everything else (Breton Woods, the Washington Consensus, etc.) was the provision of the newly created science of human management.

4. Something went wrong with the transit of power. To explain that, Z. K. Brzezinski wrote the book "Strategic View: America and the Global Crisis" ("AST", 2012 — Political Insider (Eksmo)). He came to the conclusion that "Times are changing, the world is becoming multipolar, and if the United States does not reconsider its concept of the global role of the "God-chosen hegemon in world politics," then ***they will face exactly the same systemic crisis that the USSR destroyed in its time***".

5. The new political situation in the world was presented by the Club of Rome in the form of a sensational report: "The Old World is doomed. A New World is inevitable!" (E. Weizsaecker, A. Wijkman). The authors refer to the Chinese Communist Party, which declared a **COURSE FOR** the **CONSTRUCTION** of an "ecological civilization", which was fixed in the Constitution and has already been reflected in the plans of the thirteenth (2016-2020) five-year plan. There is a hope for a person's abilities, his thinking: ***it is necessary to "cultivate integral thinking, and not be limited to analytical thinking"***. The authors note that teaching systems thinking is not enough, because "there is a tendency in system thinking to consider reality in rather mechanistic categories that are not able to grasp its organic integrality."

6. The situation in the world is developing unexpectedly, and the authors of interference in the transfer of power are actively looking for new forms of it, some of which are presented in the lectures of Nassim Nicholas Taleb "Antifragility. How to profit from chaos".


The book inspires optimism for life in a world where uncertainty reigns, but **ONE SHOULD NOT** strive to predict the future, but should be ... antifragile. **Antifragility** is a property of people (companies, states, etc.), which allows them not only to survive (and not to collapse), but also to become stronger under the blows of fate. Antifragility is the use of opportunities. Taleb writes: "The wind extinguishes the candle and kindles the fire. It is the same with randomness, uncertainty, chaos: each of us would like not to hide from them, but to benefit from them. In other words, to **become a flame, hungry for wind**. This, in short, is by no means the author's humble attitude to uncertainty and randomness."

7. Specialists in Russia have their own vision of the new political reality for **their version of the modification of the state**. Sergey Pereslegin published an article: "The Global World: extremely unstable development" (Neva Magazine, number 5, 2009). His opinion: "In general, the situation on the "world chessboard" has sharply worsened. There will be no draw! There will be no "delayed" post-industrial transition! Over the next twenty years, we are waiting for either a total post-industrial catastrophe, or a post-industrial transition with a complete restructuring of all life formats." This is described in more detail in the book "Sum of Strategies". Among other things, the authors are interested in **passionaries: "people who have an innate ability to absorb more energy from the external environment than is required only for personal and species self-preservation**, and give this energy in the form of purposeful work to modify their environment," that is, the creators and authors of history.

8. But in the Russian vision of the new political reality on the eve of the proposed transfer of power, there are undoubtedly mistakes, presented, for example, in the theory of the "self-employed" in the gray economy of Russia. This looks like an attempt to call millions of Russian citizens to the Law, who can be easily identified and taxed. In fact, these millions of people in science are studied as a class of "precariat", and they see in it **a new danger for the transfer of power**, too. This is a global problem, and it is described in Guy Standing's book "**The Precariat: a New Dangerous Class**". The precariat is a new class that, on the one hand, plays an extremely important role in the production of both tangible and intangible values, and on the other hand, is deprived of most social and political rights and guarantees: stable salary and health insurance, paid leave, access to education (**precariat from the English precarious — unreliable**).

9. A simplified view of ecology has led to the fact that **the physical, material reality has ceased to be seen** behind the extraction of profit from garbage — "money that cannot be eaten".





### THE RUSSIAN NORTH - IS NOT A GARBAGE DUMP!

How dangerous this "trifle" is for the transfer of power is shown by events like "Shies". The importance of ecology is strongly represented in the book by Yuri Shevchuk "The Collapse of the Humanity Project". The author warns against the mistake: "to assume that the end of humanity will take place. Of course — but not now and not even in the next thousand years. This misconception is due to the fact that people are used to thinking that changes on the planet are happening slowly. At the same time, everyone knows that the ethnic composition of the Roman Empire during the Great Migration of Peoples has changed dramatically in 15 years. Disasters are only being prepared slowly. And they happen quickly..."

10. It is clear that the ruling class of Russia *is trying to understand what is happening with the world of the new reality and integrate into it with the help of completely new ideas and solutions. For example*, in 2018, the Center for Strategic Research developed a new fundamental project for adapting Russia to changes. Authors: M. Petrov, V. Burov, M. Shklyaruk, A. Sharov presented the project: "**The state** as a platform". The state for the digital economy. Digital transformation. Among other things, they write: "To the state. Reduction of the level of expenditures on national expenditures by 0.3 % of GDP by 2024. An increase in the number of real-time indicators of the economic state obtained from alternative sources.

**Citizens.** High level of satisfaction with the quality of providing state and municipal services. Personalization of public services"...

**As a result**, the high-tech digital platform of public administration **minimizes the human factor, corruption and mistakes accompanying it.**

The modern trend of political and social changes **DOES NOT** grow out of the momentary actions of modern leaders of various levels, but continues to develop voluntarily or involuntarily within the framework of the direction which was set many years ago at the global level and issued by W. Churchill, C. Tilly, A. Peccei, Z. K. Brzezinski, von E. Weizsaecker, Wijkman, N. Taleb, S. Pereslegin, G. Standing, Y. Shevchuk and many others — you cannot list them all in a few pages. It is necessary to understand this when we evaluate what is happening today and the actions of those in power. Today is the time of reflection. Painful comprehension, right or wrong and in general — why?

**To be continued...**

<sup>1</sup> **Nikolai Aleksandrovich Morozov** published three major works at the intersection of the subject areas of cultural history and natural history: "Revelation in Thunder and Storm" (1907), "Prophets" (1914), and the 7-volume "Christ" (1924-1932), in which he presented biblical texts as reflections of real astronomical observations of early medieval Christian astronomers and formulated the theory of total falsification of human history ("antiquity is the Middle Ages"), which subsequently greatly influenced the ideas of representatives of the New Chronology — the theory of a radical revision of world history, created under the leadership of Academician of the Russian Academy of Sciences, mathematician A. T. Fomenko.

<sup>2</sup> **Sergey Yulievich Witte** — Russian statesman, Minister of Railways (1892), Minister of Finance (1892-1903), Chairman of the Committee of Ministers (1903-1906), Chairman of the Council of Ministers (1905-1906).