

Salvation of the Saviors (series 49-369)

Time for reflection...

*Many things we do not understand
not because our understanding is weak;
but because these things
are not within the range of our concepts.
(Kozma Prutkov)*

Facts cannot be trusted, because it is not known whether these are facts or not. I am not hinting at what has become banal, and what is called "fake news", because many people know this. This applies not only to the "fake news" information from the mass media, it applies to everything in general, since it is drawn from huge repositories of information in the clouds of the Internet. All sorts of things are stored on the web today – what is true and what was invented two minutes ago, but well said. And the fact that yesterday was the truth, and today it is rejected, yet, **NO ONE TOOK** the trouble to publish this refutation, i.e., to tell everyone – "... there have been discoveries that show that the chair is no longer a chair, but a watermelon." Therefore, young children, **NOT TO MENTION** students and adults, if any question appears, immediately go to Wikipedia and find information there that is true, but as information, it has **NOTHING TO DO** with the truth. I gave Wikipedia as an extreme example.

In addition to Wikipedia, there are a lot of things on the Internet that you don't know what to do with. Even scientists are interested in this, because what are the keys to verifying truth or non-truth for the curious or the seeker of knowledge? As an argument - they will say, "...yes, but it's a published paper...". Published – where? And how many magazines at the same time, which should go entirely into the oven and as soon as possible. **THE REAL EXPERTISE HAS DISAPPEARED**. But the reader can say this: **NO, IT HASN'T DISAPPEARED**. There are international journals in the world where there is a lot of expertise! I agree, but I have other considerations about this, namely: magazines belong to certain people, groups of people (in different forms of this understanding), different schools. Some adhere to some ideas and even more so in science, while others adhere to other ideas, especially in the modern understanding of science. And they consider each other either bad professionals, or **ALMOST CROOKS**. The article I am writing now in this paradigm¹ will probably be rejected as not mature or just an idiotic article. Although in fact this is quite a normal article, *people just stand on opposite positions*.

¹ A **paradigm** is a kind of universal standard, in one area or another, based on which you can come to the right decision. For example, in ancient times people thought that our planet was flat, therefore, for them it was a paradigm.

I, am in fact, telling you why I am telling you this. In this sense, the world has become so motley that you need to have quite a lot of, as they would say now, outsider information – other information in order to understand what you are getting, and here a very bad question arises: what are we going to consider true? After all, was there a Battle of Kulikovo (Mamaevo or the Don massacre) or not? We will find people who will say: there **WAS NO** battle and all this is made up. Moreover, these people will be professors with a good citation index, and good honest scientists, they just look at this topic from the other side. Others, looking within the framework of what was presented to us from school, will say – **OF COURSE THERE WAS**. And the date will be given as **8 September, 1380**, between the army of the ruler of the Golden Horde – Mamai and the united Russian army with Grand Duke Vladimir and Prince Dmitry of Moscow. But there are still others who will say that there was a battle, but the battle was **NOT WITH** the Tatars, because there **WAS NO** Tatar-Mongol yoke in Russia, and there were troops facing each other, in which both Russians, Tatars, Lithuanians, and many others were concentrated. This battle was between the Russian princes for the so-called unity of command in the territory. In this situation, what should a schoolboy or a student do? Not to mention the people who passed through the Soviet school. What should they do? Moreover, if a serious, major scientist says this, he is a professor, he is even an academician and he has wonderful works. Why do I write about this? Because everything I write **CAN BE VIEWED** from one of



these angles. But this is everyone's choice and it is desirable that, choosing, the basic understanding would be that the primary transmitted information of a performing nature from the System was carried out through specific individuals or groups of them. They had to have a certain brain genotype, functionally dominant and parasitic over others, for each individual stage aimed at solving specific tasks within the management of people in all aspects of their lives. It was in this way that the primary organizing elements were imputed to the practice of human existence. This was the case with the interventional Control System, and this past arrangement of the entire executive management process should and will be completely changed through the arrangement of a new Structure of organized

human existence, since this is **NOT ONLY** irreversible, but also demanded by the entire process of ongoing changes at the System and Subsystem levels that are taking place today.

However, for the time being, automotoricity in the thinking of people "brought up" within the framework of the Old system has remained and **DIRECTLY AFFECTS** many processes, including the understanding of what is happening. In order for us to move forward in our understanding and cognition, first of all, in my opinion, we need to define for ourselves **SOME POSTULATES**, even if they are completely different from those that have been hammered into us so persistently since birth and school, and are being hammered into us today by existing

information flows, practically through all mass media, the Internet and, of course, educational institutions of all levels. And from the position of understanding **THESE POSTULATES, ALL THE LIES** of information flows from the past and, of course, the present immediately become visible. It is from this angle that I propose to look at the information that I give in my books and articles, trying to show **ALL** the **NUANCES** of the so-called "from (iz)toric" development in understanding political, state, everyday problems that arise today for many, and require their correct interpretation for making concrete (and worldly, including) decisions.

Power, first of all, is expressed in power **OVER CONSCIOUSNESS**. And only then over the territory and economy. If a ruler does not have power over consciousness, he is **NOT** a **RULER**, but a caretaker on the farm, at any moment changed by someone who has power over consciousness. The foundation of the Church, as one of the most important components of power, is the religious illiteracy of the masses. Ideally, people should understand as little as possible, and also **NOT BE ABLE** to read/write. The less they understand, the better — they will stand firmer in faith. As part of this policy, Pope Gregory VII² forbade good Christians to read the Bible. Since then, the opinion has



Папа Григорий VII



been formed that it is dangerous for the soul to read the Bible without a mentor. In fact, it is not dangerous for the soul, but for the Church itself. If a person reads everything and analyzes it, the result will **NOT BE IN FAVOR** of the Church. A person can turn out to be a good believer only in one case: if he never thinks, **but only believes, believes and believes**. Like a computer, it will follow a given program, and not a step aside. It is not for nothing that it is considered in church circles: that a person knows how to baptize a forehead, over which shoulder to pass a candle, how to attach to an icon when the fast begins/ends, and that's enough for him. The main thing is to know that **ALL POWER**

is **FROM GOD**. Everything else is not just not necessary for him to know, but harmful. Minimal freedom of thought gives orthodox firmness. The mere suggestion to comprehend dogmas gives birth to a sacred horror in the hearts of simpletons. For them, this is an attempt at blasphemy. Such believers form the foundation of every faith, not just Christianity.

The initiates and pious Akulins are the striking force of any religion. The Western Church kept its flock in a state of ignorance by **PROHIBITING** the **TRANSLATION** of the Bible and church

² **GREGORY VII**, Hildebrand (c. 1015-1085). Pope of Rome 1073.

services from Latin into a language familiar to the people. She explained that "The King of the Jews" was written over the crucified Christ in three languages: Latin, Hebrew and Greek. From this, in an incomprehensible way, she deduced that the Bible and the service could only be in Latin. The people chanted hymns in Latin at the top of their lungs, **NOT UNDERSTANDING ANYTHING**. Only sermons were spoken to the masses in an understandable language. The Eastern Church **DOES NOT LAG BEHIND** the Western one. The Orthodox introduce their Latin, the ancient Church Slavonic language. Eastern theologians explain this differently than their Western counterparts. They say that information sounds sublime in the ancient language, its meaning reaches the heart better. The fact that parishioners **UNDERSTAND** almost **NOTHING** is not a big minus at all, on the contrary, it is a big plus. It is believed that people are still **NOT ABLE** to understand the Bible, as monkeys are not able to understand the Pythagorean theorem. But if so, why present this information to people if it is obviously clear that they will not understand? Nobody tries to teach algebra to monkeys. This is answered with parables. For example, a son asked his father why he reads the Bible if he doesn't understand anything? The father did not answer with anything, but gave his son a dirty basket and told him to put water into it. The obedient son went, scooped up water and brought an empty basket. The father sent him again, and so it went on until the son asked why he was forcing him to do mindless work? And his father said to him: look at the basket, it was dirty, but it became clean. So, my soul, although it does not understand anything from what is written, but through reading the Bible it is cleansed. Such parables sound beautiful, and are 100% emotion-oriented. The people don't need anything but emotions. And that's why they fit so well in the heart. ***People believe that the truth is revealed through faith, not through understanding.*** The call to be like children is good for **BLIND FAITH** – just believe, and you will get away from difficult thoughts. When reading the Bible, this call is not good. If people with this attitude start to relate to the biblical texts, they will **NOT UNDERSTAND** the theological explanations. And they will see the contradictions. And they will no longer be able to remain believers. The Church believed that the absolutely rigid structure guarantees its stability. In fact, dogmatism proved unable to resist the facts. Scientific discoveries have broken the upper part of the structure. Today, a pile of the tower remains — unshakable, solid-stone and reinforced concrete. To shake it in its current attitudes of the people is unrealistic with no facts and common sense, which, in fact, is observed. She will disappear from the face of the earth naturally, as the cult of Aphrodite or Huitzilopochtli³ disappeared at one time.

The ideal of religion is believers with a "simple faith" of the Akulina model, who do not know anything and do not want to know. In any situation dangerous for her faith, the grandmother hides behind the authority of her religion, behind the many homemade preparations composed for such an occasion, behind the words of various holy fools and blessed ones — the undoubted

³ **Huitzilopochtli** — "hummingbird of the south" or "hummingbird of the left side") — the god of the sun, the god of war and the national god of the Aztecs, the patron of the city of Tenochtitlan. The name is due to the fact that the hummingbird represents the sun in many tribes of Central America.

authorities of Christianity. For this reason, **NO** religion **APPROVES** of the study of its history. Nothing irritates a creed more than research revealing its roots. In order to prevent this, instead of knowledge, they cultivate childishness. The knowledge taught in various seminaries in terms of reality and usefulness is equal, in my opinion, to dragon science. With the same level of usefulness, it was possible to study the history of the fairy-tale land of elves and dwarves. Religious knowledge is either scientific flea-catching, scrupulously describing who said what to whom, or "galloping across Europe." All knowledge comes down to memorizing bows. Teachers always skip the key points of all religious teachings. Not with malicious intent. The system **DOES NOT ENCOURAGE** exploring the roots of information declared sacred. All this was "built up" within the framework of the Old control system, but the "mechanism" itself is still working today – every emerging event is preceded by a certain number of mandatory actions, as a result of which, in fact, the formation of an executive circumstance is ensured, and accordingly the future event is being prepared as an executive circumstance. The performing circumstance itself (it is also an event) is accomplished on command from the CC (control complex). This has happened and is happening in all ongoing processes on Earth.



It is **NOT SO MUCH** the contradictions of which the Bible is woven that are surprising, as



the reaction of intellectually developed believers to the facts provided. It is from them that I hear condemnations in the style of: aren't you ashamed to tell ordinary people such things? By religion, these people do **NOT** understand the **DESIRE** to establish the truth and get rid of the false, but the technology **TO MAINTAIN** a social structure, such as a person believes that you need to live by the commandments, lives by them, and that's enough for him. But if he reads your books, he gets to double-check the information, make sure it is true, and what should he do? What should he focus on in life? That's it, the man is gone. So, your books are harmful... When you remind these **ZEALOTS** of **CHRISTIANITY** about the words of Christ, where he calls those who are hungry and thirsty

for truth blessed, you point to the words of the Apostle John – "Search the Scriptures..."⁴ and other calls to understand, and **NOT BLINDLY** believe, they just wave their hand, like - you never know what is written... We have our own truth. After such a reaction, the legend "The Grand

Inquisitor" in the novel "The Brothers Karamazov" by Dostoevsky, is recalled. I don't want to ask any questions. Not because there are no more questions, but because there is nothing more to talk about.

For a state based on religion, the destruction of faith is as harmful as the destruction of the foundation of a building. And for a person, what is harmful about real information? If the Papuans worshipping straw airplanes find out the real state of affairs, what harm will it do them? What harm to the priests is understandable. What harm to the people? I don't see any harm. I see a benefit — they will stop wasting **THEIR TIME** and find a better use for it. But today, for some reason, smart people are silent on this... Well, what can I say? It was clear why it was necessary to keep the masses in a state of dense ignorance in the period before the Enlightenment. And what is it now? Now the level of development is different, the cultural, social, political and economic situation is different. Why **NOT OPEN** your eyes to straw planes? There is such an anecdote among anthropologists. "One day a scientist came to a wild tribe. He began to gain the trust of the aborigines, to learn their language. And when he reached the necessary level of trust, the savages admitted him to their sacrament. The scientist was very surprised by what he saw: natives were standing around the fire, focusing their attention on the priest. The priest shouted: "The square of the hypotenuse is equal to..." And the natives answered him in chorus, "The sum of the squares of the catheti!" Then the anthropologist found out that his colleague had visited the tribe before him, who taught the natives how to pronounce the Pythagorean theorem. Since then, they regularly repeat it and **PIOUSLY BELIEVE** in the divine truth of the spoken words. **NO ONE UNDERSTANDS** absolutely anything that gives the maximum level of faith. People think that if nothing is clear, then the information is from above. Otherwise they would have understood (recall Tertullian with his "I believe..."). One part of the people wants army discipline — they want to march. The other part wants freedom, but within the framework recognized by society, that is, to march too. The true slogan of the lower part of a person created within the framework of the Old system (without a meaningful goal) is "... long live the chains"! Psychological experiments say: people want to be like everyone else. When a group of children are given a candy in the same package, and one is given a tasteless one in the same package, and then they ask everyone about the taste, but the child with the tasteless candy is asked last, **EVERYONE PRAISES** their sweetness. And the last child, too, although he **DID NOT HAVE** the sweetness. He speaks black and white with one goal — to be like everyone else. Very rarely does anyone speak as they are, **WITHOUT TAKING** into account the opinions of others. Such people are an exception.

If you look at the technologies used by the Church with an open mind, they are all built on the fact that suggestions have sprouted into the human soul, like a cancerous tumor into the body. Any step of a believer should be accompanied by an action reminding him of belonging to

⁴ Search the scriptures, for you think through them to have eternal life; but they bear witness of me. The Gospel of John chapter 5 verse 39

the Church. For this purpose, **MANY** church holidays **ARE INVENTED**. In addition to holidays, all the key events of a person's life (birth, wedding, death) are also tightly connected with the Church. A Christian **IS OBLIGED TO ATTEND** services and pray several times — after sleep, before meals, after meals, before business, after business, before bedtime. All Christian rituals are very tedious. For example, on Mount Athos, prayer takes at least eight hours every day. **WITHOUT(WITH)THE SENSELESS WASTE** of time and effort is declared as service to God. Tiresomeness is justified by the fact that it is without (with) a blood sacrifice to God. "God was patient and told us to." In fact, the goal is the tiresomeness and monotony of the rite - a way of penetrating the subconscious, zombifying it and turning it into a mankurt⁵ chained to the Church. If a person is immersed in this atmosphere every day from birth to death, he grows up thinking that he is a slave of God, Power and the Church. Good Christians have been instilled with a way of thinking for almost two thousand years: **NO NEED** to think, **NO NEED** to immerse themselves in the history of the Church and theological subtleties. Instead, **YOU NEED** to go to the **TEMPLE**, light candles, observe fasts and believe in everything that priests and Christian books tell you to believe. *That's all faith.* The believer subconsciously agrees that he has no right to his opinion. Because he is a slave, a slave, a slave. God's servant. A slave doesn't need to think. Everyone has already thought for him. "How good it is," the good believers admired, "that everything was thought out for us. Otherwise, we would have to do it ourselves... and we ourselves are stupid, otherwise we would have thought of it. And would have been caught by Satan. But, thank God, our intercessor Mother Church has provided for everything, thought of everything for us and presented it in a ready-made form. Our business now is to know and do it for yourself."

Imagine, a man lived, **DID NOT GRIEVE**, everything was clear to him in life. He knew what would happen after death, he knew how to behave during life, what could be done and what could not be done. He donated, to the best of his ability, for the construction of temples, monasteries, kept the commandments, honored the Church. And then — everything turned out to be a hoax. Before that, there was a clear idea that there is a world, and what purpose follows from it. He knew that you needed to live by the commandments, and then you will save your soul. If you break the commandments, you will ruin your soul. This implies the purpose and meaning of life, the concepts of good and evil. With the disappearance of the worldview, all this clarity collapses like a house of cards. The good old world is disappearing before our eyes, like a dream. But what to do now?

⁵ **Mankurt** – a captured man turned into a soulless slave creature, completely subordinate to the master and not remembering anything from his previous life. In a figurative sense, the word "mankurt" is used to refer to a person who "lost touch with his historical, national roots, forgot about his kinship." In this sense, the word "mankurt" has become a household word. In the Russian language, the neologisms "mankurtism", "mankurtization", "demancurtization" appeared.

To give up everything you've believed in for decades overnight? With the disappearance of the worldview, all this clarity collapses like a house of cards. The good old world is disappearing before our eyes, like a dream. But what to do now? To give up everything you've believed in for decades overnight? This is very difficult, on the verge of the impossible. To admit the falsity of religious guidelines means to admit that I have lived my life in vain. You don't feel cheated, but worse. Crooks cheat for money, traitors for friendship. The church cheated for a lifetime. When you realize this, at first you do not feel deceived nor betrayed, but like a living dead man — not dead, but not alive either. Many people today are in a state where they cannot call themselves believers, and they cannot give up their faith. There is an **OBSESSIVE THOUGHT** in their minds— the churchmen with the power have perverted and distorted the teaching of Christ. In order to restore peace in the soul, it is necessary to discard all the superficial and false, and walk the path of light and goodness —the path indicated by Christ. You just need to live like a Christian, like all normal Christians, and that's it. But the trouble is — The **CONCEPT** of **NORMAL** is different for everyone. Some Christians consider God to be threefold, others to be one. For Catholics, the Holy Spirit comes from Christ, for Orthodox - it does not. No matter how you turn it, you can't live normally **WITHOUT KNOWING** the norm. You can live with Akulina, for whom the norm is as usual for her. And a normal Christian... no, you can't. Dealing with this and trying to find a way out, at first **YOU NEED** to **TRY** to determine which information comes from God, and what information comes from nowhere. Let's decompose religious solitaire into three piles: *"I believe in it, I don't believe in it, I'll think about it later,"* but we stumble over the question: what are we guided by when separating the wheat from the chaff? The Church says that **IT SEPARATED** truth from falsehood under the guidance of the Holy Spirit. It doesn't matter how it really is. It is important that she says how she got the result. And how do we separate the truth from the lie? With the help of God? Then it turns out that I am a prophet and the founder of a new religion. It's just a matter of walking on water so that I don't doubt my connection to God and prophetic abilities. But it's **NOT POSSIBLE** to walk on water. So, he is not a prophet. It turns out that in the revision of faith we were guided by our opinion. It remains to explain, at least to yourself, on what grounds did you raise your opinion to the status of truth? Dead end. Folk wisdom says: "you can't be half pregnant." You can't be a Christian who agrees with one thing, doesn't agree with another, and then decides about the third. Or rather, it is possible, but it will be **SELF-MADE** Christianity.



If your surname is Petrov, then such Christianity will be the Christianity of the Petrine persuasion. But it will be anything, but not Christianity and **NOT EVEN RELIGIOUS** teaching. This will be your opinion. Of course, you can deify them, but it won't go beyond words. It will come down to the fact that such a believer in the current life will be guided by patterns and instincts

that he does not even realize — they already seem true to him. No matter how passionately you want to be a Christian, no matter how it was thought that Christ brought the truth, and the Church distorted it, it **TURNED OUT** to **BE IMPOSSIBLE** to be "just a believer". A Christian — who knows what denomination, what current and sense he adheres to. But it is worth clarifying this, as the question immediately arises: on what grounds did I accept this version, and not another one? For an answer, you have to climb into the roots of Christianity, and everything immediately collapses. An unusual situation arises. On the one hand, there are unshakable, indisputable, reinforced concrete facts that **DO NOT FIT** into any official gates of the Church. **NOT** a single theologian, (I have met with many), **COULD** object to anything on the merits. Some empty words (especially in today's situation), which flow in many media and on the Internet. On the other hand, the desire to keep faith. But how is this even possible in theory? I perfectly understand people who call to **TEAR CHRISTIANITY** away from the Church and... just believe! Contradictions in the Bible exclude such a possibility, because the question arises: what exactly to believe? The tangle gets even more tangled here. *"... you are trying to make another vessel out of the fragments of your faith. But it will not work: the fragments are connected only in their former form. Either you will return to your faith, or you will reject it forever."*⁶

Understanding religion by a simple person is akin to understanding matter before the quantum era. Until we delved into the matter, everything was clear. As soon as we got deeper, discovered all sorts of quarks, leptons and bosons, it immediately became unclear what matter is. It's the same with religion: as long as **YOU DON'T DIVE** into the roots, into the details, everything is clear and there are no questions. Over the millennia, it has become bronzed and gained enormous authority. Everything emanating from her seems to be filled with some deep light of sacred truth. But it's worth going deeper, as the **POSSIBILITY** itself is one thing when you haven't reached into your pocket, and you don't know if you have money there or not.



Then you can believe that they are there. But when he felt in his pocket... it was empty. He scratched his head, reached into his pocket again, and fumbled again. Empty again. Now you know for sure that there is no money in your pocket. And now how can you believe that there is money in your pocket? You can say words about faith, but it will **NOT** be **FAITH**, but words **ABOUT FAITH** are deceptive, empty words. My personal opinion is that if an intelligent person has this information and continues to declare himself a Christian, he is hypocritical. It's easy to understand him. He who has devoted his whole life to Christianity, and it has become his second self, how can you give it up? In such a situation, there is no time to search for the truth. And since faith has become

your life, survival for you lies in **MAINTAINING** the **USUAL FOUNDATIONS**. But it's still **NOT**

⁶ A. Rybakov. "Children of Arbat"


FAITH... There can be no faith in someone who has understood everything. It's not there, it is gone... One who was born in a Melanesian tribe and who worshiped straw airplanes, and then learned the story about the cargo cult, he cannot continue to believe in what he believed before gaining knowledge. If he continues to talk about loyalty to the cargo cult and continues to worship airplanes, this is not loyalty, but the pursuit of profit or idiocy.

Today, I am more than confident and I don't see a single chance to remain a Christian when you know the history of Christianity and the Church: not the bows that are studied in seminaries and told in sermons, but the **ROOTS** of the **SITUATION**. If you keep the whole situation in your head, you **CAN'T** honestly call yourself a Christian. You can be a hypocrite if your source of income is tied to the Church, if all your friends are there, but you can't be a believer. I draw your attention to the fact that the blessed are **NOT THOSE WHO ARE SATED** with the truth, but those who thirst for it. You can thirst for the truth until **YOU HAVE** the truth. Whoever considers himself to know the truth cannot thirst for it. You can't get thirsty after drinking water. And since a person is always developing, and the situation is changing, thirst can never be sated. It can be **PLUGGED WITH DOGMAS**. And the one who has such a dogmatic gag in his mouth can consider himself to know everything, to have grasped the truth, and he no longer needs to thirst for the truth and look for something. Today's Man is, of course, an imperfect being. He has been made like this for more than two thousand years. Therefore, he **CANNOT** know the truth as long as he is a person. If Christ calls a flawed being to search for truth, he knows that a person will inevitably make mistakes in fulfilling the call. Is it possible to judge a person for mistakes if it is **KNOWN IN ADVANCE** that he will definitely make them? No. Just as it is impossible to judge a person for the inability to fly, so it is impossible to judge for imperfection. If a person created himself (I omit how this is possible), then you can ask for the fact that he created himself imperfect. But man did not create himself ... If the house is poorly built, there may be claims to the builders of the house, but not to the house itself. The building is as the builders made it. Similarly, man: if God has put error in his nature, I do not understand how it is possible to punish him for the mistakes that he will inevitably make when fulfilling God's call to seek the truth. If only he had at least in theory a chance not to make a mistake, but there is no such chance. For he is imperfect. If we assume that God, who created an imperfect man and commanded him to seek the truth, will judge him for mistakes, knowing that they will happen, then the words about justice are empty. **IT IS UNFAIR** to **JUDGE** the imperfect for imperfection.

The most reliable facts are intelligible. It is impossible to doubt them. They are free from manipulation and opinions. To deny intelligible facts, one would have to deny obvious common sense. An example of a speculative fact: I look at the house and claim that it was not created by the elements, but by builders. I don't have a document confirming my words. But I have a house in front of my eyes. This **FACT** alone makes my statement unshakable. Through the same technology, much of the history of Christianity and the Church is revealed, which was hidden, perverted and presented in a completely different light. For example, I personally have not seen Christians forced to sacrifice to the emperor. But if I know that the law of the Roman Empire obliged to offer sacrifices to the emperor as a deity, and that Christian teaching forbids

worshipping deities, combining these two facts, I see the true reason for the persecution of Christians. Next, let's take Christian textbooks that have passed multi-layered church and political censorship, and we see that they lie. If you know the Bible and the history of the Church, it is impossible to be a Christian. Religion is the milk of a dead cow. It has nutrients, but it's dangerous to drink it. The **BIBLE CONTAINS** many surprisingly deep, accurate and wise thoughts that one can agree with. It is not necessary to consider this a curtsey towards the Church or Christianity. Christ, together with the apostles and the first followers, **COULD NOT** have dreamed in a terrible dream that their letters and phrases would make the basis of what is called Christian teaching today. As it was said, Rome stole from Christianity the name on which it **CREATED** a **MINISTRY** called the Church. In light of this fact, quoting Christ and the apostles cannot be understood as an allusion to the partial truth of Christianity. If we carefully study the roots of Christianity, there is not a single possibility to consider its view of the world as a reflection of reality. But thanks to such research and understanding, one can express gratitude to Christianity for the fact that it encourages someone who really wants to understand this "intricacy" – to think big.

Is it possible to understand the rejection of the Church as a denial of God? By no means. So, I recognize God? It is impossible to answer such a question casually, on the run. I don't want to be like the "army of Akulinas" of a religious and atheistic format, arguing about whether there is a God, **WITHOUT** first specifying **WHAT EACH** side understands by the word God, what conclusions follow from the fact that God, for example, does not exist? Or what follows from the fact that God is reality. I will not be like believers with their pocket god (I will speak about him separately later) who are disputing and everyone knows in advance, no matter what they



agree on, his life will **NOT CHANGE** from this. As he considers his life as the main thing, so he will continue to do. But the question is, is there a **GLOBAL GOD**? I have already answered this question in my books and articles, and answered – what, in my (and not only) opinion, should be understood by this global. But in order for this globality **TO BE COMPREHENDED**, the reader needs to immerse himself in the topic and prepare the minimum base of his understanding, through the desire to know, a deep desire to know what has happened and what is happening. In the meantime, there is a base sufficient only **FOR** the **DENIAL** of all religions in general, and the Church in particular. But this base is quite enough, in my opinion, so that there is a desire to see the whole, **NOT** to **BOW** before authorities,

and not to get stuck in bows. To have the courage not to drag a lie by the ears to make it true and **NOT** to **DRAG** it all into the Future. Now, from this angle, let's look at our realities. For

many years, quite qualified specialists or just feeling people have been writing, and still write and talk about it on all platforms. Many did **NOT LIVE** to **SEE IT**, but they carried the idea of the future into the "control pyramids" built at a rapid pace as part of the creation of the well-known "**VERTICAL OF POWER**" over the past two decades. About moving forward. About development, about freedom, equality, fraternity, about faith and about religion against this background. About changes, about justice, about the comfort and happiness of peoples. About the example of Russia as the locomotive of new changes, as the vanguard of the planet in solving earthly problems. And there were no obstacles for this. Absolutely none. There was everything - a resource, personnel. Take and create, edify, build, develop. A huge resource at hand. Cadres who craved change and development. But **THERE** was **NO** desire and no order from the Captain. The captain was busy designing the seats of his liner or something similar. Maybe he was drawing a new map of the Russian Federation. And people, passengers, even crew members - shouted, imagined the desired and the ways of development of this desired. We counted, calculated, and even implemented certain stages using examples. They made their way despite stupid congestion, despite the sticks in the wheels, created, edified, glistened. And they warned - The **ICEBERG IS AHEAD**, it's time to think. Without (c) sense. The Ship-State only exploited its success, subjugating names for itself, and putting them on its own merits. But there was **NO** forward movement, except for the military-industrial complex, as a shield in which they believed (and it turned out to be not a shield at all). Everything just lay at anchor.

First of all, materiality and stagnation were implemented, saturating the schools with bast shoes and obscurantism of propaganda, pretty much absorbing the resource from the common trough. But people **CONTINUED TO FIGHT** and drew new projects, worlds, models of the future with faith in God and the church, without the church, but with faith in God or with faith in anything. The authorities either did not hear, or, rather, **DID NOT WANT** to hear about anything other than quiet puffing and measures for the construction of landscaping around themselves and excessive comfort, expressed in palaces, yachts, banquets and "hangouts" on a scale hitherto unseen. Do you know why the Russian Empire collapsed and the White Movement ended so gloriously? Everything was monstrously simple. **THERE** was **NOTHING** to offer people. There wasn't even any little idea. Millions of Russians and those who lived in Russia, peasants, workers, fought for years as soldiers and died in terrible wars. And so they returned to their homeland, which was already experiencing post-war problems. And no idea, nothing. Not even a hint of the future. And then the Bolsheviks came. The Bolsheviks **OFFERED JUSTICE**. Let it be purely declarative, let it be crooked in places, but at least in the form of an idea. And people followed them. The Whites offered nothing but the Tsar, the Fatherland and war to the last. And the churchmen against this background just kept silent and waited. And people followed the Reds, not the Whites... even the Whites followed the Reds. Everyone knows perfectly well that our marshals were white officers, too. There were many **PEOPLE** of **HONOR** among the Whites, many wise, intelligent, capable and educated, but in the collective field - they all turned out to be without ideas. Who did **NOT** even **TRY** to create at least a

declaration and **ATTRACT PEOPLE WITH an IDEA**. So, it is today. People will be happy to go to rallies, to do anything just to hang out, "criticize on posters" the president, listen to songs, skip classes or work, but few of them **WANT to GO** to war, defend the conditional Rottenbergs or Usmanovs from the "vertical of power" and the "pyramid of control". And even more so, few are ready to give their lives for something that even on paper today looks disgusting. Among this "vertical of control" **THERE ARE CERTAINLY** literate, educated and even wise people, but in general, in a corporate, collective sense, these are Christians **WAITING FOR the CONDESCENSION** of the Holy Spirit. The metaphysics⁷ is the same as written above. And there is not even Stolypin, who tried to tweak something a little. Therefore, if you are the ruler or the "steering wheel" of the vertical or pyramid – you want to change the world – write at least a manifesto and voice it so that **EVERYONE** can **HEAR**, even those who are waiting for the condescension of the Holy Spirit. Give people hope, for justice, for good, for comfort, for a bright future. Think about how to make a Social contract with them (all of them) that will reflect today's aspirations and be accepted by the majority.



Everything that is stated in relation to the church, Christianity and what is happening today should be viewed not through the "scientific and historical" development of mankind, but in understanding the basis of the time frame of the origin of **EACH STAGE** of the development of Civilization, which was based on the parameters of the executive step-by-step processes from the programs for the "Development of brain genotypes and energy biogenesis of the flesh of the body". The whole story, as a program for the development of brain genotypes, is the Main Law of History, and not anything else. The past knowledge of the very truth of the Divine (spiritual) principle about the "Created World", **ARTIFICIALLY IMPUTED** by the old interventional System, was presented on the religious dogmas of the Supreme equal-to-the-apostles object perception of God (the partretno-sculptural image of the representation of the Creator, Author) **TRANSMITTED** from the **OUTSIDE**, on the one hand. On the other hand, people's knowledge of the "Created World" was imputed by the System taking into account and

⁷ **Metaphysics** deals with the relationship of matter and spirit, studies the nature and activity of consciousness, raises questions of the predestination of being and free will. To study metaphysics means to try to clarify the fundamental concepts by which people know the world — existence, objects and their properties, space and time, cause, effect and probability.

on the basis of a limited object perception of the surrounding reality, presented as "scientific dogmas". And since the life of people, in all its substance and essence as such, was and is a consistent result of the influence of control information, which, in turn, is a target contact control process with the substance of the state of mind, then the stages of **INFORMATION DEVELOPMENT** are the stages of people's lives, with all the corresponding step-by-step embodiments of their being. This can be seen by carefully looking at the development of the church as a tool for managing people. All this, of course, is subject to a thorough correction, or rather a **FUNDAMENTAL REPLACEMENT** through people approaching today (unfortunately – not all) to the knowledge of the truth, which, in fact, has already begun today and is gaining momentum. That is why it is **NOT NECESSARY to CONSIDER** all this stupid, greedy and vile policy, which we observe everywhere, worthy of modern man and our civilization. We have to go into the Future, and we are being pulled into the Past, and perverted and not even idealized. We're not on our way. We are not on the path with the states, since this is already the Past, not the Future. There are no states in the future, but only people and the relationship between people, regardless of the territories in which they live. And there is Law, Justice and the **WELL-BEING** of **EVERYONE**, and not of the chosen elite or those who have made their way out of turn.

F. Shkrudnev

12. 08. 2023.